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Use as the Reference Frame

• distinction between reference system and reference frame

• the IAU adopted ICRS (materialised by radio sources) as the celestial reference system to supersede the equator/equinox J2000 materialised by the catalogue FK5 (and before that, B1950/FK4)

• Hipparcos provides the reference frame for optical astronomy

• all major catalogues and ground surveys are now referred to it

• all telescopes (ground/space) ‘point’ using Hipparcos/Tycho stars

• still confusion between: J2000 used as an epoch (valid), and J2000 used as shorthand for the reference system (superseded)
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Degradation of the reference frame with time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Accuracy (mas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Hipparcos
- FK5
- Tycho 2 (best)
- Tycho 2 (all)
- Tycho 1 (V = 10.5)
- Tycho 1 (V < 9)
Binary Systems

Δμ binaries (Wielen 2001)
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Δμ binaries (Wielen 2001)
Binary Systems

24 publications treating >16000 systems

...from intermediate astrometric data
(e.g. Pourbaix & Jorissen 2000)

...from Earth orientation observations
(Vondrák & Stefka 2007)

...from early-epoch catalogues
(e.g. Gontcharov & Kiyeva 2002)

...using eclipsing binary timings
(Ribas, Arenou & Guinan 2002)

...from speckle data
(e.g. Balega et al 2005)

HIP14669 = GJ 125
HIP106972 = GJ 4210
Some special HR diagrams...

1697 primaries (left) + secondaries (right)
from the Tycho Double Star Catalogue
(Fabricius & Makarov 2000)

Some special binaries...

Wide halo binaries (a> 25 AU):
small binding energies and hence good tracers of
mass concentrations versus Galactic orbit
(Allen & Poveda 2000)

Masses: 146 individual main sequence stars with $\sigma_M < 15\%$
(Söderhjelm 1999)
Relativistic Light Deflection (1/2)

State-of-the-art (ground):
Texas 1973 solar eclipse
(Jones 1976)

From Hipparcos residuals:
\[(1+\gamma)/2 = 0.9985 \pm 0.0015\]
(Froeschlé et al 1997)

Constraints on \(\gamma\)
(Will 2006)
Light deflection seen by Gaia (Jos de Bruijne)
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Problem 1: Galactic Structure and Rotation

Aims include determining:

• distance of Sun from Galactic centre, \( R_0 \)
• local standard of rest (for circular orbits)
• solar motion \( uvw \) (with respect to LSR)
• rotation curve (as a function of \( R \))
• Oort constants, assuming circular motion
  • angular rotation rate = \( A-B \)
  • local derivative = \( A+B \)
Sun’s distance from Galactic plane

Distance from Galactic plane, $Z_0$
(important for phase of Sun’s orbit)

- pre-Hipparcos: $10-42$ pc
- Pham 1997 (F stars): $9\pm4$ pc
- Holmberg et al 1997 (F stars/red giants): $8\pm4$ pc
- Chen 2001 (SDSS): $27\pm4$ pc
- Maíz Apellániz 2001 (O-B5 stars): $24\pm2$ pc
- Branham 2003 (90,000 stars): $35\pm1$ pc
### Results on Solar Motion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Solar motion wrt LSR (km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total $V_\odot$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$u_\odot$</td>
<td>$v_\odot$</td>
<td>$w_\odot$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Hipparcos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans &amp; Irwin (1995)</td>
<td>APM-based</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – Oort–Lindblad:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feast &amp; Whitelock (1997)</td>
<td>Cepheids</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – Ogorodnikov–Milne:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyamoto &amp; Zhu (1998)</td>
<td>O–B5 stars</td>
<td>11.59 ± 0.49</td>
<td>13.39 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cepheids</td>
<td>10.46 ± 1.19</td>
<td>15.95 ± 1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A0–A5 dwarfs</td>
<td>9.92 ± 0.25</td>
<td>10.71 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A5–F0 dwarfs</td>
<td>11.58 ± 0.32</td>
<td>10.37 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F0–F5 dwarfs</td>
<td>11.46 ± 0.37</td>
<td>11.16 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K0–K5 giants</td>
<td>7.99 ± 0.35</td>
<td>14.97 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K5–M0 giants</td>
<td>8.72 ± 0.49</td>
<td>19.71 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M0–M5 giants</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.61</td>
<td>20.29 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branham (2000)</td>
<td>all Hipparcos</td>
<td>10.30 ± 0.06</td>
<td>19.13 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branham (2002)</td>
<td>OB stars</td>
<td>14.49 ± 0.12</td>
<td>19.68 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branham (2006) and priv. comm.</td>
<td>OB stars</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.83</td>
<td>10.15 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – vectorial harmonics:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vityazev &amp; Shuksto (2004)</td>
<td>113 646 stars</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makarov &amp; Murphy (2007)</td>
<td>non-binary</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – spiral-density wave:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mishurov &amp; Zenina (1999b)²</td>
<td>Cepheids</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>13.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lépine et al. (2001)²</td>
<td>Cepheids</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>11.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – other:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehnen &amp; Binney (1998a)</td>
<td>Dwarfs</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.36</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brosche et al. (2001)</td>
<td>K0–K5 giants</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d = 195$ pc</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>11.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d = 378$ pc</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>10.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogg et al. (2005)⁴</td>
<td>Dwarfs</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Galactic Rotation (1)

Cepheids:
Feast & Whitelock (1997)

O and Wolf-Rayet stars:

Rotating galaxy, from above
Galactic Rotation (2)

- galaxy disk does not rotate as solid body
- large-scale rotation from e.g. CO data
- provides evidence for dark matter
- nearby stars give detailed motion
- complications due to young stars, spiral arms, etc

Successive approximations:


2. Ogorodnikov–Milne formulation based on a 3x3 velocity tensor: Miyamoto & Zhu (1998); Mignard (2000); Uemura et al (2000); Branham (2000-06)

Velocity field and residuals for 243 O—B5 stars using Ogorodnikov-Milne model:
Galactic Rotation (4)

Decomposition by vectorial harmonics:
Makarov & Murphy (2007)

Higher degree terms not present in classical linear model:
• linear gradient of rotational velocity with distance from the plane
• uncertain dependency on population (thin or thick disk)
• upward vertical motion of stars towards GC (opposite to stationary warp model)
Results on Galactic Rotation

cf Brunthaler et al (2011) using VLBI−BeSsE + Sgr A*:  $R_0 = 8.3 \pm 0.23, \Theta_0 = 239 \pm 7$ (for specific UVW)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$-B$</th>
<th>$A - B = \frac{\Theta_0}{R_0}$</th>
<th>$-(A + B) = \frac{(d\Theta/dR)_{R_0}}{R_0}$</th>
<th>$R_0$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$\Theta_0$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Hipparcos:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oort (1927a)$^1$</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$+5$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAU (1964) standard$^2$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$-5$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>220 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr &amp; Lynden-Bell (1986)$^3$</td>
<td>14.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>26.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>$-2.5 \pm 3.1$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>220 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson (1987)$^4$</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>25.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>$+2.6 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>184 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olling &amp; Merrifield (1998)$^5$</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>25.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>$+2.6 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>184 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – Oort–Lindblad:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feast &amp; Whitelock (1997) Cepheids</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>27.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>$-2.4 \pm 1.0$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olling &amp; Dehnen (2003) dwarfs$^6$</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>21.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>$+2.0 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olling &amp; Dehnen (2003) giants$^7$</td>
<td>15.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>16.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>32.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>$+1.0 \pm 1.2$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu &amp; Ma (1999) O–B$^{14}$</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>32.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>$-3.0 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – Ogorodnikov–Milne:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyamoto &amp; Zhu (1998) Cepheids</td>
<td>16.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>28.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>$-4.4 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyamoto &amp; Zhu (1998) O–B5</td>
<td>16.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>31.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>$-0.6 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mignard (2000) dwarfs$^8$</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>24.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>$+2.4 \pm 1.1$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mignard (2000) giants$^9$</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>11.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>24.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>$-1.6 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branham (2000) all$^{10}$</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>$+0.2 \pm 0.7$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branham (2002) O–B</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>30.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>$+0.5 \pm 1.1$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branham (2006) O–B</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>$-5.3 \pm 1.0$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – vectorial harmonics:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vityazev &amp; Shuksto (2004) 113 646 stars</td>
<td>13.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>12.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>26.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>$-0.9 \pm 2.6$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makarov &amp; Murphy (2007) non-binary</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>27.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>$-0.4 \pm 1.8$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipparcos – spiral-density waves:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lépine et al. (2001) Cepheids</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>26.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>$-8.7 \pm 1.7$</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>231 ± 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

Distance to Galactic centre (using Galactic centre stars):
\[ R_0 = 8.2 \text{ kpc} \]

Sun’s distance from the Galactic plane:
\[ Z_0 = 20 (+/-10?) \text{ pc} \]

Solar motion with respect to LSR (Dehnen & Binney 1988):
\[ u_0 = +10.00, \quad v_0 = +5.25, \quad w_0 = +7.17 \text{ km/s} \]

Galactic rotation (Feast & Whitelock 1997):
\[ A = +14.82, \quad B = -12.37 \text{ km/s/kpc} \]
\[ \Omega_0 = \frac{(\Theta/R)_0}{R_0} = A - B = +27.19 \text{ km/s/kpc} \]
\[ (d\Theta/dR)_{R_0} = -(A+B) = -2.45 \text{ km/s/kpc} \text{ (slightly decreasing)} \]

Circular velocity at \( R_0 = R_0 \Omega_0 = 223 \text{ km/s} \)

Galactic rotation period = 226 Myr
The uncertainties affect, e.g.:

• dynamical effects of the local mass density based on vertical motions of stars in the disk
• constraints on dark matter distribution
• disk and local star formation models
• Galactic escape velocity
• models of spiral arms
• phase of Sun’s vertical oscillatory motion
• Sun’s passage through the spiral arms
Problem 2.
The Hyades and Pleiades
Detailed Sub-Structure: Clusters, Associations, Moving Groups, Streams...

Detection methods (delicate with Hipparcos):

- Convergent-point analyses: Hyades (Perryman et al 1998)
- Spaghetti method: parallax + positions/proper motions (Hoogerwerf & Aguilar 1999)
- Global convergence mapping (Makarov & Urban 2000)
- Orbital back-tracking (Hoogerwerf et al 2001, Ortega et al 2002, ...)
- Epicycle correction (Makarov et al 2004)

Identification of cluster members will be facilitated by Gaia’s parallaxes, proper motions, radial velocities, and multi-colour photometry
Hyades Cluster: over 60,000 years
Hyades main sequence fitting

(B-V)

ZAMS

550 Myr

600 Myr

650 Myr

700 Myr

750 Myr

ZAMS
The Pleiades star cluster
Pleiades Cluster:
age = 120 Myr, d=120 pc,
animation=150,000 yr
Hyades:

- distance (~70 members) = 46.3±0.3 pc
- chemical composition: Y = 0.26, Z = 0.024; age = 625±50 Myr (Perryman et al 1998)
- internal velocity dispersion $\sigma_v = 0.3$ km/s (de Bruijne et al 2001, Narayanan & Gould 1999)
- N-body simulations: initial mass $\sim 1200 M_\odot$ (Madsen 2002)

Pleiades:

- distance (main sequence) = 133.8 – 135.5±3 pc (Percival et al 2005, An et al 2007)
- distance (Hipparcos) = 118.3±3.5 (van Leeuwen 1999) to 122.2±2.0 (van Leeuwen 2007)
Not just a problem for Hyades and Pleiades...

Eight nearest clusters; both figures from the comprehensive re-reduction of the data (van Leeuwen 2007)

9344 single stars with parallaxes < 5%, with 20 open clusters: oldest in red (Hyades, Praesepe); Pleiades etc in green; youngest in blue
The cluster distance problem

• Complications:
  • small-scale correlations (documented in Hipparcos Catalogue)
  • main-sequence fitting and Hipparcos parallaxes sample distinct parts of the main sequence
  • metallicity determination; temperature scale; He (Efremov 1997, Belikov 1998)
  • other distance estimates: HST FGS3 (Soderblom 2005); asteroseismology (Fox et al 2006)
  • cluster non-sphericity (Raboud & Mermilliod 1998, Stello & Nissen 2001)
  • extended star formation over time (Herbig 1962, Belikov 1998, Raboud & Mermilliod 1998)
  • X-ray diagnostics (Makarov & Robichon 2001)
  • grey extinction (Jones 1972)

• Preparing for a more detailed Hyades/Pleiades investigation with Gaia:
  • acquire multiple-epoch radial velocities for full space motions, binary studies, membership
  • acquire multicolour photometry and spectroscopy for metallicity and reddening
  • develop dynamical models including N-body simulations
Others...

• nearby stars (following Gliese & Jahreiss)
• local mass density
• properties of open clusters
• structure and dynamics of spiral arms (cf Xu et al 2013, VLBA–BeSSeL survey using H$_2$O masers)
• halo stars within 100 pc
• distance to the LMC
Clusters and Associations on the Sky

Convergent motions over 200,000 years
(Madsen et al 2002)

D deteces:
• clusters (Hyades, Pleiades, Coma,...)
• moving groups (Ursa Major,...)
• associations (Scorpius, Centaurus,...)
Moving Groups in the Galactic U-V Plane

Famaey et al 2005
Moving Groups in the Galactic U-V Plane

These (old) ‘moving groups’ cover various phenomena:

• evaporating open clusters: Hyades group
• resonance due to rotating bar: Hercules stream (Dehnen 1998)
• other moving groups:
  • Castor: 0.2 Gyr (Barrado y Navascues 1998, Montes et al 2001)
  • Ursa Major: 0.3 Gyr (Eggen 1998, Chupina et al 2001, King et al 2003)
  • HR 1614: 2-6 Gyr (Eggen 1998, Feltzing & Holmberg 2000)
Stars nearby are travellers from far away
(view of Galactic disk over 300 Myr)
Mass in the Galactic plane (over ~60 Myr)
Distribution of Matter

Characterised by:
• mass per unit volume (Oort limit)
• total surface density projected on the plane (scale height)
• density + velocity distribution → potential (K-z relation)

Important for:
• physical/chemical evolution of star formation
• disk stability and properties of dark matter
• Galactic escape velocity (~500 km/s; also runaway + hypervelocity stars)

Results:
• no dark matter distributed as the disk (Crézé et al 1998)
• Oort limit (Holmberg & Flynn 2004): $\rho_0 = 0.102 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-3}$
• vertical oscillation period: $P_\perp = (\pi/G\rho_0)^{0.5} = 82 \, Myr$
Large-Scale Dynamical Structure (2/2)

Galaxy formation (infall) directly observed in angular momentum space (Helmi et al 1999; Chiba & Beers 2000)

Galaxy orbits for globular clusters for $10^{10}$ yr: disk, thick disk, and halo orbits (Dinescu et al 1999)
Our Galaxy has been built from mergers...

Captured galaxy:
- satellite mass: $4 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot$
- pericentre: 7 kpc
- simulation over 3 Gyr
Stellar motions and chemical compositions are a fossil record of the Galaxy’s formation.
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Many Detailed Studies of....

- Absolute magnitude versus spectral type (bolometric corrections: Bessell 2007)
- Zero-age main sequence
- Physics of giants, subgiants, horizontal branch, asymptotic giant branch
- Mass loss
- Physics of binary systems (mass and radius)
- Abundances: [Fe/H], He, α-elements, lithium
- Chemical enrichment of the Galaxy
- Stellar rotation
- Magnetic field
- Stellar pulsation
White Dwarfs

Mass-radius relation for white dwarfs for $T_{\text{eff}} = 5000-145,000$ K;


He, O or Si cores have similar density (mean molecular weight per electron $\sim 2$)

Fe cores difficult to reproduce from stellar models (Iben & Renzini 1983)

Strange matter cores have been proposed by Panei et al (2000); Mathews (2006)
Distance to the Large Magellanic Cloud

Straight mean of direct/indirect Population I/II methods gives: $(m-M)_0=18.49$

...consistent with $H_0=72\pm 8$ with $(m-M)_0=18.50$

(Freedman et al 2001)

Compared with other recent values:
- $73\pm 3$ from WMAP (Spergel et al 2007)
- $75\pm 7$ from gravitational lens B1608+656 (Koopmans et al 2003)
- $76\pm 4$ from Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect (Bonamente et al 2006)
- $73\pm 4$ from Type Ia supernovae (Reiss et al 2005)
Outline of Talk

1. Reference Frame
2. Galaxy Structure and Dynamics
3. Stellar Structure and Evolution
4. Solar System and Exoplanets
Local Solar Neighbourhood (100,000 years)
Gliese 710
(d=19 pc, V=14 km/s, t=1.4 Myr)
Stellar Encounters with our Solar System

Nearby star passages may trigger cometary impacts from Oort Cloud (here over ±100,000 years):
Garcia Sanchez et al 1999, 2001; Frogel & Gould 1998; Serafin & Grothues 2002
Earth’s Environment and Climate

(1) Sun’s passage through the spiral arms matches ice-house epochs for a spiral arm pattern speed of \( \Omega_p \approx 14-17 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \) (Gies & Helsel 2005; see also Shaviv 2003; Svensmark 2006)

(2) Maunder Minimum (1645–1715):
- coldest excursion of little ice-age, and correlated with disappearance of sun spots
- probe of solar activity, solar dynamo, sun spot cycle, and climate
- monitoring Sun-like stars within 60 pc probes activity versus age (Wright 2004, 2006)

(3) Various other studies of Sun’s orbit, spiral arm + Galactic plane passages (vertical oscillation period \( \sim 82 \text{ Myr} \)), cratering records, geological crustal features, and relation between cosmic ray production and glaciation
Earth’s Polar Motion
(since ~1895)

Participating observatories (Vondrák & Ron 2000)

- small irregular movements of the Earth’s geographic poles relative to crust
- originates from misalignment between rotation and symmetry axes
- dominant term: seasonal redistribution of mass ~0.3 arcsec (Chandler 1891)
- originally measured by visual and photographic zenith tubes, now VLBI and GPS
- all historical measurements reanalysed within Hipparcos reference frame

Number of instruments (Vondrák et al 1997)

Polar motion versus time
(Vondrák & Ron 2000)
... illustrating 6-year beating between the Chandler period (435 d) and annual term
Hipparcos distances to exoplanet host stars

100 brightest radial velocity host stars (end 2010)
(versus RA, independent of dec)

(unknown assigned $\pi = 10\pm9$ mas)

Hipparcos parallaxes
(Perryman et al 1997)
Search Optimisation for SETI (1/3)

The Allen Array, CA
First 42 dishes active: Oct 2007
Search Optimisation for SETI (2/3)

Search for solar twins: non-binary stars identical to the Sun in terms of: age, mass, luminosity, chemical composition, temperature, surface gravity, magnetic field, rotational velocity, chromospheric activity

One of the ‘best’ candidates is HR 6060 at 14pc, already identified by Cayrel (1996), and confirmed with the Hipparcos data by Porto de Mello (1997)

Potentially habitable stars vs spectral type - M/K/G/F/all (Turnbull & Tarter 2003)
Q: How can 2 civilisations, both unaware of the existence of the other, optimise where and when to send a signal, and where and when to look?

A: Use a gamma-ray burst as a timing/location beacon (Corbet 1999):
• transmitter sends ‘downstream’ when GRB pulse received
• receiver looks ‘upstream’ when GRB pulse received
• wait time depends on geometry: for $d = 20$ pc and $\theta=1^\circ$, $t = 3.63$ days
• if $\theta$ is known to 1 mas, $\sigma_t = 1.8$ hr (Hipparcos)
• if $\theta$ is known to 1 $\mu$as, $\sigma_t = 1$ min (Gaia/SIM)
In Conclusion...

- Hipparcos Catalogue of 120,000 stars: published 1997 (updated: van Leeuwen 2007)

- Tycho Catalogue (1 million stars, 1997) and its update/replacement Tycho 2 (2.5 million stars, 2000)

- 2000 or more papers since 1997, impacting a wide range of astrophysics

- My review of results published by Cambridge University Press in 2009
Some limitations of Hipparcos

- a modest telescope aperture (30cm)
- modulating grid leading to \( \approx 30\% \) light loss
- a low-efficiency photocathode detector (\( \approx 10\% \))
- sequential (non-multiplexed) star observations

These shortcomings are addressed by Gaia, which uses the same principles as Hipparcos to improve accuracies by \( \times 50 \)
End