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Errata in the first printing.

• preface, p. xvii, typo: reaquaint → reacquaint
  noted 2011.02.13 by B. Hensley.

• Plate 5 caption, typo:
  ...seen in Plate 6, → ...seen in Plate 4.
  noted 2018.04.07 by L. Bouma.

• §1, p. 2, 1st paragraph, typo:
  nuclear transitions and \(\pi^0\) decays. → nuclear transitions, \(\pi^0\) decays, and \(e^+ - e^-\) annihilations.
  noted 2012.06.26

• §1.1, p. 6, Table 1.3: change range of densities for H II gas from 0.3 – 10^4 cm^{-3} to 0.2 – 10^4 cm^{-3}.
  noted 2011.09.22 by B. Ménard.

• §1.2, p. 8, Table 1.4: change abundance of P from \(N_P/N_H = 3.23 \times 10^{-7\pm0.03}\), \(M_P/M_H = 1.00\times10^{-5}\) to \(N_P/N_H = 2.82\times10^{-7\pm0.03}\), \(M_P/M_H = 8.73 \times 10^{-6}\).
  noted 2013.10.21 by Bon-Chul Koo.

• §2, p. 11, 3rd paragraph, typo: three basic types → four basic types
  noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §3.6, p. 28, Eq. 3.31, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (3.31) should read
  \[
  \sigma_{\text{rr},ul}(E) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g(X_\ell)}{g(X_\ell^u)} \frac{(I_{X,\ell u} + E)^2}{E m_e c^2} \sigma_{\text{pi},\ell u}(h\nu = I_{X,\ell u} + E), \tag{3.31}
  \]
  noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins

• §3.7, p. 28, Eq. (3.33), typo: sign error. Change \(e^{-I_n/kT} \rightarrow e^{I_n/kT}\).
  noted 2017.02.09

• §3.8, p. 31, Eq. (3.48), typo: change
  \[
  I_{n\alpha} \propto A_{n\alpha} h\nu_{n\alpha} \int n[H(n)] ds \propto n^{-6} b_n \int n_e n(H^+) ds
  \]
\[ \rightarrow I_{n\alpha} \propto A_{n\alpha}h\nu_{n\alpha} \int n[H(n+1)]ds \propto n^{-6}b_{n+1} \int n_e n(H^+)ds \]

noted 2019.02.06

- §5.2.2, p. 50, Fig. 5.5: add reference to caption: (Chandra et al. 1984)

- §5.2.2, p. 50, 3rd paragraph, typos: change
para-H$_2$O must have \(K_{-1}+K_{+1}\) odd \(\rightarrow\) para-H$_2$O must have \(K_{-1}+K_{+1}\) even
and
ortho-H$_2$O must have \(K_{-1}+K_{+1}\) even \(\rightarrow\) ortho-H$_2$O must have \(K_{-1}+K_{+1}\) odd

noted 2015.01.15 by Neal Evans.

- §5.2.2, p. 50: the text should have made clear that the selection rules given were specifically for H$_2$O: change
The selection rules for electric dipole radiative transitions are
\(\Delta J = 0, \pm 1; \ \Delta K_{-1} = \pm 1, \pm 3;\) and \(\Delta K_{+1} = \pm 1, \pm 3.\)
to
The selection rules for electric dipole radiative transitions in H$_2$O are
\(\Delta J = 0, \pm 1; \ \Delta K_{-1} = \pm 1, \pm 3;\) and \(\Delta K_{+1} = \pm 1, \pm 3;\) for less symmetric molecules (e.g., HDO) additional transitions are allowed.

noted 2011.11.03 by J. M. Shull.

- §6.4, p. 58, Eq. (6.29), typo: replace 7618 cm s$^{-1}$ \(\rightarrow\) 7616 cm s$^{-1}$
and in the following line change 7618 cm s$^{-1}$ \(\rightarrow\) 7616 cm s$^{-1}$.

noted 2011.08.18 by K.-G. Lee.

- §6.4, p. 58, typos: change
H Lyman \(\alpha\) \((\lambda = 1215 \, \text{Å})\) has \(f_{\ell u} = 0.4162\)
\(\rightarrow\)
H Lyman \(\alpha\) \((\lambda = 1215.67 \, \text{Å})\) has \(f_{\ell u} = 0.4164\),
and in the following sentence, change 0.4162 \(\rightarrow\) 0.4164.

noted 2011.08.19

- §6.4, p. 60, Eq. (6.41), typo: replace
\[ 2924 \left[ \frac{7618 \, \text{cm s}^{-1}}{\gamma_{\ell u} \lambda_{\ell u}} \right] \rightarrow 2925 \left[ \frac{7616 \, \text{cm s}^{-1}}{\gamma_{\ell u} \lambda_{\ell u}} \right] \]
and in Eq. (6.42) change 7618 cm s$^{-1}$ \(\rightarrow\) 7616 cm s$^{-1}$.

noted 2011.08.18 by K.-G. Lee.

- §8.1, p. 71, 3 places: just before Eq. (8.4), just after Eq. (8.7), and between Eq. (8.8) and (8.9): change “absorption coefficient” \(\rightarrow\) “attenuation coefficient”.

noted 2011.03.07
• §8.1, p. 71, Eq. (8.9), typo: missing a factor \( n(\text{HI}) \). Should read:

\[
\kappa_\nu = \frac{3}{32\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{A_{ul}\lambda_{d}^{2}}{\sigma_{v}} \frac{h c}{kT_{\text{spin}}} n(\text{HI}) e^{-u^{2}/2\sigma_{v}^{2}}
\]  

(8.9)

noted 2011.03.07 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §8.1, p. 71, Eq. (8.10), typo: omit the comma.
noted 2010.02.09

• §8.2, p. 72, Eq. (8.17), typo: change 54.89 \( \rightarrow \) 55.17
noted 2011.07.06 by R. Allen.

• §8.2, p. 73, Eq. (8.21), typo: change \((1 + z) \rightarrow (1 + z)^{-1}\)
noted 2012.06.01 by B. Catinella and N. Evans.

• §8.3, p. 74, Eq. (8.26), typo: \( T_{\text{sky}}(v) \rightarrow T_{\text{sky}} \) (two occurrences).
noted 2011.02.10

• §8.3, p. 74, Eq. (8.26), typos: \( T_{\text{on}}A(v) \rightarrow T_{\text{off}}A(v) \) (two occurrences).
noted 2013.02.14 by Munan Gong.

• §9.4, p. 79, Eq. (9.21), the second "=" should be changed to "\( \approx \)".
noted 2011.08.18 by K.-G. Lee.

• §9.8, p. 84, typo in line following Eq. (9.35): change \((v_{\text{FWHM}}/2 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{2}/3 \rightarrow (v_{\text{FWHM}}/2 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{2/3}\)
noted 2020.09.09 by Roohi Dalal.

• §9.10, Table 9.4, p. 88, typos: for C II and N III, change \( ^{2}\text{D}_{J} \rightarrow ^{2}\text{D}_{J} \) for \( J = 3/2 \) and \( J = 5/2 \).
noted 2015.02.12 by Semyeong Oh.

• §10.2, sentence preceding Eq. (10.5): change

...the Gaunt factor from quantum-mechanical calculations is approximately \( \rightarrow \)

...the Gaunt factor is approximately (Scheuer 1960)
noted 2018.11.18 by S. Weinberg.

• §10.5, p. 96, Eq. (10.23), typo (extraneous factor of \( \text{cm}^{5} \)):

\[
\ldots \nu_{9}^{-2.118 \text{ cm}^{5}} \left( \frac{n_{i}}{n_{p}} \right)^{EM \frac{10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}}{10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-5}}} \rightarrow \ldots \nu_{9}^{-2.118 \left( \frac{n_{i}}{n_{p}} \right)^{EM \frac{10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}}{10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-5}}}}
\]

noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley and P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §10.5, p. 97, footnote 3, typo: \( 5 \times 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc} \rightarrow 5 \times 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc} \).
noted 2011.02.15 by C. Petrovich.
• §11.4, p. 110, Eq. (11.35) should read
\[
\nu \ll \frac{e^2 (\Delta n_e)_{\text{rms}}}{2 \pi m_e c} (2LD)^{1/2} = 1 \times 10^3 \text{GHz} \left( \frac{\Delta n_e_{\text{rms}}}{10^{-3} \text{cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{L}{10^{14} \text{cm}} \right) \left( \frac{D}{\text{kpc}} \right)^{1/2}.
\]
noted 2013.02.03 by W. Vlemmings.

• §12.1, p. 120, Eq. (12.1), add: where \( \nu_9 \equiv \nu/\text{GHz} \)
noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §12, p. 121, Table 12.1, typos:
  - CMB, \( T = 2.725 \text{ K} \)
  - \( T_2 = 4000 \text{ K}, W_2 = 1.65 \times 10^{-13} \)
  - \( T_3 = 7500 \text{ K}, W_3 = 1 \times 10^{-14} \)
starlight total: \( 1.05 \times 10^{-12} \rightarrow 1.06 \times 10^{-12} \)
ISRF total: \( 2.19 \times 10^{-12} \rightarrow 1.98 \times 10^{-12} \)
noted 2012.11.08

• §12.5, p. 123, below eq. (12.4): change
  - \( W_1 \) by 40%, from \( W_1 = 5 \times 10^{-13} \) to \( 7 \times 10^{-13} \) →
  - \( W_1 \) by 75%, from \( W_1 = 4 \times 10^{-13} \) to \( 7 \times 10^{-13} \), and raised \( W_2 \) from \( 1.0 \times 10^{-13} \) to \( 1.65 \times 10^{-13} \).
noted 2014.11.11 by S. Bianchi.

• §13.1, pp. 128, eq. (13.1), (13.3), (13.4): for notational consistency with the rest of the chapter, change \( \sigma_{\text{pe}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pi}} \)
noted 2018.01.07 by L. Bouma.

• §13.1, p. 128, typo:
  - \( \sigma_{\text{pe}}(\text{H}_2) = 2.8 \sigma_{\text{p.i.}}(\text{H}) \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pe}}(\text{H}_2) = 2.8 \sigma_{\text{pe}}(\text{H}) \)
noted 2011.03.06

• §13.1, p. 129, clarification:
  - ...photoionization cross sections for O...
  - ...photoionization cross sections \( \sigma_{\text{pi}} \) for O...
noted 2011.03.06

• §13.1, p. 130, Eq. (13.5), clarification:
  - \( \zeta_{\text{p.i.}} \rightarrow \zeta_{\text{pi}}, \quad \sigma_{\text{pe}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pi}} \)
noted 2011.03.06

• §13.1, p. 130, second paragraph, typo:
  - ...to \( 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for Si → ...to \( 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for Si
noted 2017.03.05

• §13.1, p. 131, Table 13.1, typo: \( \zeta_{\text{p.i.}} \rightarrow \zeta_{\text{pi}}, \quad \sigma_{\text{p.i.}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pi}} \)
noted 2011.03.06
• §13.4, p. 134, typos:
\[ \sigma_{c,i} \rightarrow \sigma_i \text{ (4 places), } k_{c,i} \rightarrow k_i \text{ (2 places).} \]
noted 2011.03.06

• §14.2, p. 138, Table 14.1. A reference to Burgess (1965; *Mem. Royal Astr. Soc.*, 69, 1) [the source of the hydrogenic radiative recombination rates] has been added in the table footnote. Upon recomputing the rates from Burgess, a few of the table entries had the last digit change by 1. Some of the coefficients in the approximate fitting formulae have also changed slightly. Here is the revised version:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (T)</th>
<th>(\alpha_n T^2 L)</th>
<th>(1 \times 10^4 K)</th>
<th>(2 \times 10^4 K)</th>
<th>(\text{approximation})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_1)</td>
<td>2.28 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.58 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.08 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.58 \times 10^{-13}T_4^{-0.540} - 0.017 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_2)</td>
<td>3.37 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.34 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.60 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.34 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.537} - 0.019 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_3)</td>
<td>8.33 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>5.36 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>3.24 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>5.36 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.681} - 0.061 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_4)</td>
<td>1.17 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>7.70 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>4.84 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>7.70 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.636} - 0.046 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_5)</td>
<td>1.13 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>7.82 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>5.29 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>7.82 \times 10^{-15}T_4^{-0.547} - 0.024 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_6)</td>
<td>3.17 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.04 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.23 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.04 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.683} - 0.062 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_7)</td>
<td>3.03 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.73 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>9.09 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>1.73 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.868} - 0.093 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_8)</td>
<td>7.33 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>4.55 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.67 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>4.55 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.729} - 0.060 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_9)</td>
<td>5.23 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>3.59 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>2.40 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>3.59 \times 10^{-15}T_4^{-0.562} - 0.026 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{10})</td>
<td>1.51 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>9.66 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>5.80 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>9.66 \times 10^{-15}T_4^{-0.691} - 0.064 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{11})</td>
<td>1.29 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>3.08 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.95 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>3.08 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.870} - 0.094 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{12})</td>
<td>1.09 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.26 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.26 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.09 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-1.041} - 0.100 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{13})</td>
<td>5.02 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.96 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.64 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.96 \times 10^{-14}T_4^{-0.805} - 0.065 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{14})</td>
<td>6.81 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>4.17 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.51 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>4.17 \times 10^{-13}T_4^{-0.721} - 0.018 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{15})</td>
<td>4.53 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.59 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.43 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.59 \times 10^{-13}T_4^{-0.833} - 0.035 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\alpha_{nl}\) from Burgess (1965); \(\alpha_B\) from Hummer & Storey (1987) (for \(n_e = 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}\))

• §14.2, p. 139, typos: In Equations (14.3) and (14.4), the leading factor of \(Z\) should be to the first power, rather than \(Z^2\): the equations should read

\[
\alpha_A(T) \approx 4.13 \times 10^{-13} Z (T_4/Z^2)^{-0.7131 - 0.0115 \ln(T_4/Z^2)} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (14.3)
\]
\[
\alpha_B(T) \approx 2.54 \times 10^{-13} Z (T_4/Z^2)^{-0.8163 - 0.0208 \ln(T_4/Z^2)} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}. \quad (14.4)
\]
noted 2012.01.04 by E. Jenkins.

• Fig. 14.1, p. 140, typos: the quantities plotted should be labelled \(Z^{-2}T_4^{1/2} \alpha_A\) and \(Z^{-2}T_4^{1/2} \alpha_B\) (rather than \(Z^{-3}T_4^{1/2} \alpha_A\) and \(Z^{-3}T_4^{1/2} \alpha_B\)):
Figure 14.1 Case A and Case B rate coefficients $\alpha_A$ and $\alpha_B$ for radiative recombination of hydrogen, multiplied by $T_4^{1/2}$ (equations 14.5, 14.6). Note that no single power-law fit can reproduce the $T$-dependence over a wide range in $T$.

noted 2012.01.04 by E. B. Jenkins.

- Table 14.2, p. 143, typo: Pfundt → Pfund noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley.

- §14.2.4, p. 144, Eq. (14.11), typo: $1880 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ → $1.55 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ noted 2011.03.17


- §14.6, p. 153, typo: ...from the wave function of $AB$... → ...from the wave function of $AB^+$... noted 2011.03.05 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

- §14.6, p. 154, Table 14.8 update: replace
  \[ \begin{align*}
  \text{H}_3^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H} & 1.1 \times 10^{-7} T_2^{-0.56} & \text{McCall et al. (2004)} \\
  \text{H}_3^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{H} + \text{H} & 8.9 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} & \text{McCall et al. (2004)} \\
  \text{H}_3^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H} & 5.0 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} & \text{McCall et al. (2004)}
  \end{align*} \]
  with
  \[ \begin{align*}
  \text{H}_3^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H} & 1.1 \times 10^{-7} T_2^{-0.56} & \text{McCall et al. (2004)} \\
  \text{H}_3^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{H} + \text{H} & 8.9 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} & \text{McCall et al. (2004)} \\
  \text{H}_3^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H} & 5.0 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} & \text{McCall et al. (2004)}
  \end{align*} \]
  noted 2013.04.03

- §14.7.1, p. 155, typo: $I_{\Omega(\text{P}_0)} = 13.6181 \text{ eV}$, → $I_{\Omega(\text{P}_2)} = 13.6181 \text{ eV}$, noted 2011.02.22 by Xu Huang.

- §14.7.1, p. 156, Eq. (14.31), for notational consistency: $n(\text{H})$ → $n(\text{H}^0)$ noted 2011.05.15 by E. B. Jenkins.
• §14.7.1, p. 156, just before Eq. (14.35), typo:
In the low density limit... → In the high density limit...
noted 2011.05.15 by E. B. Jenkins.

• §14.7.1, p. 157, Figure 14.5: plotted curves were numerically incorrect.
Corrected Figure 14.5:

![Figure 14.5](image)

*Figure 14.5* Dependence of oxygen ionization fraction on hydrogen ionization fraction due to charge exchange. The low-density limit applies for $n_{H} \lesssim 10^{4}$ cm$^{-3}$.

noted 2011.05.18 by E. B. Jenkins.

• §14.9, p. 159, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (14.41) should read

$$
\sigma_{rr}(E) = \frac{g_{\ell}}{2g_{u}} \frac{(I + E)^{2}}{E m_{e} c^{2}} \sigma_{pl}(h\nu = I + E).
$$

(14.41)

noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins.

• §14.9, p. 160, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (14.43) should read

$$
\frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{rr}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{ci}} \approx 2\pi \alpha^{3} f_{pi} \frac{I}{C kT} e^{I/kT}.
$$

(14.43)

noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins.

• §14.9, p. 160, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (14.44) and following should read

$$
\frac{I}{kT} e^{I/kT} = \frac{C}{2\pi f_{pi} \alpha^{3}}.
$$

(14.44)

If $C \approx 1$ and $f_{pi} \approx 1$, this has solution $I/kT \approx 10.6$. ...

noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins.
• §15.1, p. 163, typo: $\sigma_{p,i} \rightarrow \sigma_{pi}$ (two places)  
noted 2011.03.05

• §15.1.2, p. 163, change  
the Case B radiative recombination rate for $\text{He}^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{He}^0$ is $\sim 1.9$ times larger than for hydrogen.  
\[ \alpha_{\text{eff, (He)}}/\alpha_B(\text{H}) \approx 1.1 - 1.7, \]  
depending on the fraction $y$ of $h\nu > 24.6 \text{ eV}$ photons that are absorbed by H.  
noted 2011.03.17

• Table 15.1, p. 164, typo: $M/M_\odot$ for O6.5V star: 38.0 $\rightarrow$ 28.0  
noted 2013.01.31

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change  
will be $\sim 18\%$ $\rightarrow$ will be $\sim 14\%$  
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change  
if $Q_1 < 0.18Q_0$, $\rightarrow$ if $Q_1 \lesssim 0.14Q_0$,  
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change  
$Q_1/Q_0 \geq 0.18$, $\rightarrow$ $Q_1/Q_0 \gtrsim 0.14$,  
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change  
O6.1 V and earlier, O5.3 III and earlier, and O4 I and earlier – have $Q_1/Q_0 \gtrsim 0.18$.  
\[ \rightarrow \text{O6.9 V and earlier, O6.5 III and earlier, and O6 I and earlier – have } Q_1/Q_0 \gtrsim 0.14. \]  
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.4, p. 168, Eq. (15.19), typo: $\sigma_d \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$  
noted 2011.02.24 by Xu Huang.

• §15.3, p. 166, Eqs. (15.10, 15.11), typo: $\sigma_{p,i} \rightarrow \sigma_{pi}$  
noted 2011.03.06

• §15.3, p. 167, Eq. (15.12), typo: $\sigma_{p,i} \rightarrow \sigma_{pi}$  
noted 2011.03.06

• §15.3, p. 167, Eq. (15.13), typo:  
\[ 3360 \left( Q_{0,49} \right)^{1/3} n_2^{1/3} \rightarrow 2880 \left( Q_{0,49} \right)^{1/3} n_2^{1/3} T_4^{0.28} \]  
where we have taken $\sigma_{pi} = 2.95 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$.  
noted 2011.03.17
• §15.4, p. 169, Eq. (15.27) (twice) and following paragraph (twice): typo:
  $\sigma_d \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
  noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley.

• §15.4, p. 170, Eq. (15.30), typo: $\sigma_d \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
  noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley.

• §15.4, p. 170, following Eq. (15.30), add:
  where $\sigma_{d,-21} \equiv \sigma_{\text{dust}}/10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2$.
  noted 2011.03.05

• §15.5, p. 172, line 4, typo: ... about the He ... $\rightarrow$ ... above the He ...
  noted 2011.03.06 by S. Ferraro

• §15.7.1, p. 179, Eq. (15.53), typo:
  $\sigma_d \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
  noted 2011.03.05

• §15.7, p. 180, typo: substantially reduced $\rightarrow$ substantially increased
  noted 2011.02.24

• §15.8, p. 180, Eq. (15.59), typo: there is a spurious factor of $c$ in the denominator. It should read
  $$U \equiv \frac{1}{nH} \int_{\nu_0}^{\infty} \frac{u_\nu d\nu}{h\nu}$$
  noted 2011.03.06 by S. Ferraro.

• §16.4, p. 186, Eq. (16.9, 16.10), update: change
  $$H_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 + H , \quad k_{16.9} = 4.1 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.52} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} ,$$
  $$H_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow H + H + H , \quad k_{16.10} = 7.7 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.52} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} ,$$

  to
  $$H_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 + H , \quad k_{16.9} = 5.0 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} ,$$
  $$H_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow H + H + H , \quad k_{16.10} = 8.9 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} ,$$

  and cite McCall et al. (2004) for $k_{16.9}$ and $k_{16.10}$.
  noted 2013.04.03

• §16.4, p. 187, typo: in paragraph below Eq. (16.15), change
  $x_c \approx x_M \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \quad \rightarrow \quad x_c \approx x_M \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{(see Eq. 16.3)}$
  noted 2013.04.04

• §16.5, p. 188, Eq. (16.18), added information:
  $$H_3^+ + M \rightarrow MH^+ + H_2 : \quad k_{16.18} \approx 2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (16.18)$$
  noted 2011.04.03
• §16.5, p. 189, Eq. (16.25), typo: in numerator of RHS, replace $k_{16.19} \rightarrow A$, so that it reads

\[
\frac{n_e}{n_H} = \frac{[B^2 + 4A\zeta_{\text{CR}}(1 + \phi_s)/n_H]^{1/2} - B}{2k_{16.19}},
\]

(16.25)

noted 2011.03.30 by C. Hill.

• §16.5, p. 189, Fig. 16.3. The original figure was evaluated with a too-large rate for $k_{16.19}$. The figure has been redone, now also showing the result if $\zeta_{\text{CR}} = 1 \times 10^{-17}$ s$^{-1}$.

![Figure 16.3](image)

**Figure 16.3** Fractional ionization in a dark cloud, estimated using Eq. (16.25), with the grain recombination rate coefficients set to $k_{16.20} = k_{16.22} = 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 14.6). The dashed line is a simple power-law approximation $x_e \approx 2 \times 10^{-5} (n_H/\text{cm}^{-3})^{-1/2}$.

noted 2013.03.05.

• §17.2, p. 192, Table 17.1. This has been revised to include critical densities for both H and $e^-$:

**Table 17.1** Critical Densities for Fine-Structure Excitation in HI Regions
\[ R_{12} = \left( g_{2}/g_{1} \right) \left[ C_{21} e^{-E_{21}/kT} + n_{\gamma,21} A_{21} \right]. \]

\[ \lambda_{\text{Bj, red}} = 3682.6 \, \text{Å} \rightarrow \lambda_{\text{Bj, red}} = 3682.1 \, \text{Å} \]

\[ \Omega_{\text{OIII}} \propto T_{4}^{-0.49} e^{2.917/T_{4}} \]

\[ A_{10} = 6.78 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{s}^{-1} \rightarrow A_{10} = 7.16 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{s}^{-1} \]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>( \ell )</th>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( E_{\ell}/k ) (K)</th>
<th>( E_{u}/k ) (K)</th>
<th>( \lambda_{ul} ) (( \mu )m)</th>
<th>( n_{\text{crit,ul}}(T=100 , \text{K}) ) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>( n_{\text{crit,ul}}(T=5000 , \text{K}) ) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C II</td>
<td>2P(_{1/2})</td>
<td>2P(_{3/2})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91.21</td>
<td>157.74</td>
<td>2.7 \times 10^3</td>
<td>1.5 \times 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C I</td>
<td>3P(_{0})</td>
<td>3P(_{1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>609.7</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O I</td>
<td>3P(_{2})</td>
<td>3P(_{1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>227.71</td>
<td>63.185</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^3</td>
<td>4.9 \times 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si II</td>
<td>2P(_{1/2})</td>
<td>2P(_{3/2})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>413.28</td>
<td>34.814</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^3</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>3P(_{0})</td>
<td>3P(_{1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110.95</td>
<td>129.68</td>
<td>4.8 \times 10^4</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>3P(_{1})</td>
<td>3P(_{2})</td>
<td>110.95</td>
<td>321.07</td>
<td>68.473</td>
<td>9.9 \times 10^4</td>
<td>3.6 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

noted 2011.03.06

• §17.5, p. 197, Eq. (17.27) should read
  \[ R_{12} = \left( g_{2}/g_{1} \right) \left[ C_{21} e^{-E_{21}/kT} + n_{\gamma,21} A_{21} \right]. \]

noted 2010.11.27

• §17.7, p. 199, top line, typo: \( n_{\text{H,crit}} \rightarrow n_{\text{crit,HI}} \)

noted 2011.03.10

• §18.1.2, Fig. 18.3, p. 208, two typos: The ground states of Si II and Ar IV should both have degeneracy \( g_0 = 4 \)

noted 2012.11.12 by A. Natta

• §18.4.1, p. 212: Replace wavelength in air with wavelength \textit{in vacuo}: “Balmer jump” at \( \lambda = 3645.1 \, \text{Å} \) → “Balmer jump” at \( \lambda = 3647.0 \, \text{Å} \)

noted 2011.03.11

• §18.4.1, p. 212: Refine wavelength midway between H 20 and H 21 lines:

\[ \frac{\lambda_{\text{Bj, red}}}{\lambda_{\text{Bj, red}}} = 3682.6 \, \text{Å} \rightarrow \lambda_{\text{Bj, red}} = 3682.1 \, \text{Å} \]

noted 2011.03.11

• §18.5, p. 214, Eq. (18.11): Change

\[ \frac{n(\text{O III})}{n(\text{H}^{+})} = \frac{C}{I(\text{O III}5008)/I(\text{H}^{+})} T_{4}^{-0.37} e^{2.917/T_{4}}, \]

\[ \frac{n(\text{O III})}{n(\text{H}^{+})} = \frac{C}{I(\text{O III}5008)/I(\text{H}^{+})} T_{4}^{-0.49} e^{2.917/T_{4}}, \]

noted 2015.02.27

• §19.3, p. 222: revise value for \( A_{10} \): replace

\[ A_{10} = 6.78 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{s}^{-1} \rightarrow A_{10} = 7.16 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{s}^{-1} \] (see Eq. 5.7).

noted 2013.04.17
• §19.3, p. 223: revised numbers according to revised value for $A_{10}$:
  noted 2013.04.17

• §19.4, p. 224, typo: function → function
  noted 2011.03.11 by C. Petrovich

• §21.3, p. 242, typo: ...into the UV. whereas... → ...into the UV, whereas...
  noted 2011.03.21

• §21.6.1, p. 244, typo: $k^2 = \epsilon_{ISM} \omega^2 c^2$ → $k^2 = \epsilon_{ISM} \omega^2/c^2$
  noted 2011.03.28

• §21.6.1, p. 244, Eq. (21.12), typo:
  $$n_{gr} C_{ext} (\omega) = 2 \text{Im}(k) = 2 \omega c \text{Im}(\sqrt{\epsilon_{ISM}}) \approx \omega c \text{Im}(\epsilon_{ISM})$$
  →
  $$n_{gr} C_{ext} (\omega) = 2 \text{Im}(k) = 2 (\omega/c) \text{Im}(\sqrt{\epsilon_{ISM}}) \approx (\omega/c) \text{Im}(\epsilon_{ISM})$$
  noted 2011.03.28

• §22.4.2, p. 252, Eq. (22.27), typo: $4\pi \rightarrow 9\pi$.
  noted 2012.06.26

• §22.6, p. 256, footnote 6: the DDSCAT website has moved. Change
  noted 2019.03.25

• §23.1, p. 265, typo:
  lower oscillator strength $f (C II\lambda2325 \text{Å}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$
  →
  larger oscillator strength $f (C II\lambda2325 \text{Å}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$
  noted 2012.12.27

• §23.1, p. 266, typo: $\text{Mg}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_{2-2x}\text{SiO}_4$ → $\text{Mg}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_{2-2x}\text{SiO}_4$
  noted 2011.03.24 by C. Petrovich

• §23.3, p. 269, typo: ...that the at most... → ...that at most...
  noted 2011.03.23

• §23.4, p. 272, Fig. 23.5 caption, typo: Lowe panels:... → Lower panels:...
  noted 2011.03.23

• §23.10, p. 280, typo: varies → varies
  noted 2011.03.23

• §23.10, p. 283, typo: totaly → total
  noted 2011.03.23
• §24.2, p. 293, typo: ...does not extend below ~23K.  → ...does not extend below ~35K.
  noted 2011.03.24

• §24.2, p. 293, typo: ...corresponds the grain...  → ...corresponds to the grain...
  noted 2011.03.25

• §26.2, p. 308, Eq. (26.23), numerical error: should read

\[ \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = 4.6 \text{ GHz} \left( \frac{T_{\text{rot}}}{100 \text{ K}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{0.001 \mu\text{m}}{a} \right)^{5/2} \]  (26.23)

noted 2014.06.27 by B. Jiang.

• §26.2.2, p. 309, Fig. 26.2: the rightmost abscissa label should read “100”, not “10”.
  noted 2011.03.29 by B. Hensley.

• §26.3.1, p. 311, Eq. (26.24), typo:

\[ \mu = \frac{Qa^2 \omega}{3} \rightarrow \mu = \frac{Qa^2 \omega}{3c} \]

noted 2011.05.01 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §26.3.1, p. 311, Eq. (26.25), typos: The equation should read

\[ \Omega_L = \frac{5UB}{8\pi \rho a^2 c} = 3.7 \times 10^{-10} \left( \frac{3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}}{\rho} \right) \left( \frac{U}{\text{Volt}} \right) \left( \frac{B}{5 \mu\text{G}} \right) \left( \frac{0.1 \mu\text{m}}{a} \right)^2 \text{ s}^{-1}. \]  (26.25)

noted 2011.05.01 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §26.3.1, p. 311, after Eq. (26.25), typo: 2\pi/\Omega_L \approx 10 \text{ yr}  →  2\pi/\Omega_L \approx 500 \text{ yr}
  noted 2011.05.01 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §27.1, p. 315, 2nd paragraph, typo:
   ...resulting photoelectron will...  →  ...resulting photoelectrons will...
  noted 2011.03.31

• §27.1, p. 317, typo: ...injection of photoelectron energy...  →  ...injection of photoelectron energy...
  noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §27.1, p. 317, typo: ...nebulae dust are dusty,...  →  ...nebulae are dusty,...
  noted 2011.03.31

• §28.1, p. 326, 2nd paragraph, typo: ...form the the...  →  ...form the...
  noted 2011.03.31
• §28.2, p. 327, 2nd paragraph, typo:
\[ EM \approx 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc} \rightarrow EM \approx 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc} \]
noted 2011.03.31 by C. Petrovich.

• §28.3, p. 328, 4th paragraph, typo: change distance from \( \Theta_1 \text{Ori C} \) to the Orion Bar ionization front:
\[ \sim 7.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm} \rightarrow \sim 7.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm} \]
noted 2015.04.07

• §29.1, p. 332, 1st paragraph, typo:
\[ b = 0 \rightarrow b = 90^\circ \], so that the 2nd sentence reads
...vary as \( N(\text{H I}, b) = N(\text{H I}, b = 90^\circ) / \sin |b| = N_0 \csc |b| \).
noted 2012.11.04 by R. Simons.

• §29.4, p. 335, typo:
...found \( n_T \approx 2800 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K} \)...
\[ \rightarrow \ ...found n_T \approx 3800 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K} \... \]
noted 2011.04.05

• §30.2, p. 339, typo: ...near threshold are... → near-threshold yields are...
noted 2011.04.05 by B. Hensley.

• §31.4, p. 349, Eq. (31.24), typo: on RHS, change
\[ \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2 h} \sum_u f_{\ell u} \lambda_{\ell u}^3 u f_{\text{shield}, \ell u} \rightarrow \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2 h} \sum_u f_{\ell u} \lambda_{\ell u}^3 u f_{\text{shield}, \ell u} p_{\text{diss}, u} \]
noted 2013.04.12 by Ai-Lei Sun.

• §31.4, p. 349, Eq. (31.25), typo: \( \tau_{1000} \rightarrow \tau_{d,1000} \)
noted 2012.07.10

• §32.1, p. 357, 1st paragraph, typo: ... a their... → ...their...
noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §32.1, p. 357, 2nd paragraph, typo: (see Plate 15). → (see Plate 11).
noted 2011.06.07 by S. Lorenz Martins.

• §32.9, p. 368, typo: magnetic → magnetic
noted 2011.04.11

• §32.9, p. 368, just before eq. (32.11), typo: change
\[ A_V/N_H = 1.87 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^2 \rightarrow A_V/N_H = 5.3 \times 10^{-22} \text{ mag cm}^2 \]
noted 2016.03.04 by Ilsang Yoon.

• §32.11, p. 372, prepenultimate paragraph: terminological correction. Change “core” to “clump” (three occurrences).
noted 2015.04.16
• §33.1, p. 375, typo: photodisociation → photodissociation  
  noted 2011.04.11

• §33.1, p. 375, typo: occuring → occurring  
  noted 2011.04.25 by B. Hensley.

• §33.2.2, p. 378, typo: reaction products should be OH$^+$ + H$_2$  
  noted 2011.04.12

• §34.4, p. 386, Eq. (34.10): sign mistake on RHS; change 
\[ -4\pi r^2 \frac{dT}{dr} \rightarrow 4\pi r^2 \frac{dT}{dr} \]
  noted 2019.04.18 by G. Halevi.

• §34.4, p. 387, typo: Eq. (34.17) is off by a factor 3, and should read 
\[ t_{\text{evap}} = \frac{3M}{2\dot{M}} = \frac{25 \times 2.3(n_{H})_c R_c^2 m_e^{1/2} e^4 \ln \Lambda}{8 \times 0.87(kT_h)^{2.5}} \]  
(34.17)

Eq. (34.18) is numerically correct, but should have shown the dependence on $\ln \Lambda$: 
\[ = 5.1 \times 10^4 \text{yr} \left( \frac{(n_H)_c}{30 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{R_c}{\text{pc}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{T_h}{10^7 \text{ K}} \right)^{-2.5} \left( \frac{\ln \Lambda}{30} \right) . \]  
(34.18)
  noted 2013.01.05 by B. Hensley.

• §35.3, p. 392, typo: rate-of-change v of... → rate-of-change of v...  
  noted 2011.04.14

• §36.1, p. 397, typo: occuring → occurring  
  noted 2011.04.26

• §36.2.2, p. 399, Eq. (36.8), two corrections: $8\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ and 
  $B_yB_z v_x \rightarrow B_yB_z v_z$ . The equation should read 
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \rho v_x v^2 + U v_x + \rho v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{B_yB_z v_y}{4\pi} + \frac{B_xB_z v_z}{4\pi} \right. \]
\[ \left. - v_j \sigma_{jx} - \kappa \frac{dT}{dx} + \rho v_x \Phi_{\text{grav}} \right] = \Gamma - \Lambda . \]  
(36.8)
  noted 2011.04.19

• §36.2.3, p. 400, Eq. (36.10): $8\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ (twice)  
  noted 2011.04.19
  $v_x$ multiplying $B_yB_z$ should be $v_y$, and $v_x$ multiplying $B_zB_x$ should be $v_z$. 


noted 2015.12.17 by J. Miralda-Escudé. The equation should read
\[
\left\{ \frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{(\gamma - 1)} \right\} v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{(B_z B_y v_y + B_y B_z v_z)}{4\pi} - \frac{\kappa dT}{dx} \right\}_1 = \\
\left\{ \frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{(\gamma - 1)} \right\} v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{(B_z B_y v_y + B_y B_z v_z)}{4\pi} - \frac{\kappa dT}{dx} \right\}_2. \tag{36.10}
\]

- §36.2.5, p. 401, Eq. (36.16): $8\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ (twice). The equation should read
\[
\frac{\rho_1 u_1^3}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} u_1 p_1 + \frac{u_1 B_1^2}{4\pi} = \frac{\rho_2 u_2^3}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} u_2 p_2 + \frac{u_2 B_2^2}{4\pi}, \tag{36.16}
\]
noted 2011.04.19

- §36.2.5, p. 401, Eq. (36.19): $8\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ (twice). The equation should read
\[
\frac{1}{2}\rho_1 v_s^3 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_1 v_s + \frac{B_1^2}{4\pi} v_s = \frac{\rho_2 v_s^3}{2} x^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{p_2 v_s}{x} + \frac{B_2^2}{4\pi} v_s x. \tag{1}
\]
noted 2011.04.19

- §36.2.5, p. 402, Eq. (36.27), typo:
\[
\frac{3}{16} \frac{\mu v_s^2}{k} \rightarrow \frac{3}{16} \frac{\mu v_s^2}{k}
\]
noted 2011.05.17 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

- §36.6, p. 409, typo: occuring $\rightarrow$ occurring
noted 2011.04.25 by B. Hensley.

- §37.1, p. 413, 2nd paragraph: Change
Cases of astrophysical interest will normally have...
$\rightarrow$
Many cases of astrophysical interest will have...
noted 2018.04.09.

- §37.1, p. 413, typo just above Eq. (37.3):
\[
J h \nu / c = \rho_1 u_1 h \nu / \mu v \ll \rho_1 (u_1^2 + c_1^2 + B_1^2 / 8\pi).
\]
$\rightarrow$
\[
J h \nu / c = \rho_1 u_1 h \nu / \mu v \ll \rho_1 (u_1^2 + c_1^2) + B_1^2 / 8\pi.
\]
noted 2016.12.08 by Ryohei Nakatani.
• §37.1, Eq. (37.8): The correction terms for \(u_R\), \(x_R\), \(u_D\), and \(x_D\) can be improved by analyzing the full cubic equation (37.3): change

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_R &\approx 2c_2 \quad \rightarrow \quad u_R \approx 2c_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{2c_2^2 - 3v_{A1}^2}{8c_2^2} \right] \\
  x_R &\approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2c_2^2 + v_{A1}^2}{16c_2^2} \quad \rightarrow \quad x_R \approx \frac{1}{2} \\
  u_D &\approx \frac{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2}{4c_2} \quad \rightarrow \quad u_D \approx \frac{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2}{4c_2} \left[ 1 + \frac{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2}{8c_2^2} \right] \\
  x_D &\approx \frac{4c_2^2}{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2} \quad \rightarrow \quad x_D \approx \frac{4c_2^2}{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{v_{A1}^2}{8c_2^2} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

noted 2018.02.19 by Woong-Tae Kim.

• §37.1 and §37.2, pp. 414-416: the mathematics is correct, but the “weak-type”, and “strong-type” terminology was unfortunately inverted: all occurrences of “weak-type” should be changed to “strong-type”, and vice-versa:
  • §37.1.1, p. 414, first paragraph:
    ...are called strong R-type. Strong R-type solutions...
    \rightarrow ...
    ...are called weak R-type. Weak R-type solutions...
  • §37.1.1, p. 414, second paragraph:
    ...referred to as weak R-type,... \rightarrow ...
    ...referred to as strong R-type,...
  • §37.1.1, p. 414, second paragraph:
    Hence, only strong R-type I-fronts are physically relevant.
    \rightarrow
    Hence, only weak R-type I-fronts are physically relevant.
  • §37.1.2, p. 414, first paragraph:
    ...is termed weak D-type. \rightarrow ...
    ...is termed strong D-type.
  • §37.1.2, p. 414, second paragraph:
    ...is termed strong D-type. \rightarrow ...
    ...is termed weak D-type.
  • Fig. 37.1 and caption should be:
Figure 37.1 $u_2/u_1 = \rho_1/\rho_2$, as a function of the velocity $u_1$ of the I-front relative to the neutral gas just ahead of the I-front, for D-type and R-type ionization front solutions (see text) for an example with $c_1 = 1 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$, $v_{A1} = 2 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$, and $c_2 = 11.4 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$. The astrophysically relevant solutions are the strong D-type and weak R-type cases, shown as heavy curves. There are no solutions with $u_1$ between $u_D$ and $u_R$.

- §37.1, p. 416, first paragraph:
  ...will be strong R-type, ... → ...will be weak R-type, ...

- §37.1, p. 417, fourth line:
  ...will now be weak D-type, ... → ...will now be strong D-type, ...
  noted 2016.12.06 by Ryohei Nakatani.

- §37.2, p. 418, typos:
  ...moving at a speed $v_s$ that will be close to (just slightly larger than) the speed of the I-front:
  
  \[ v_s \approx V_i \]  
  (37.21)
  
  ...moving at a speed $V_s$ that will be close to (just slightly larger than) the speed of the I-front:
  
  \[ V_s \approx V_i \]  
  (37.21)
  
  noted 2016.12.08 by Ryohei Nakatani.

- §38.3, p. 428, last paragraph, typo:
  \[ \dot{M}_w \approx 2 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{km s}^{-1} \rightarrow \dot{M}_w \approx 2 \times 10^{-5} \, M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \]
  noted 2015.12.17 by J. Miralda-Escudé.

- §39.1.1, p. 430, typo: case of Type II supernova → case of Type II supernovae
  noted 2011.04.21
• §39.1.1, p. 430, typo: relative dense → relatively dense
  noted 2011.04.21

• §39.1.1, p. 430, typo: Plate 11 → Plate 12
  noted 2011.04.21 by C. Petrovich.

• §39.1.2, p. 433, Eqs. (39.22, 39.23, 39.24), typos: the factor $(E_{51}/n_0^2)$ should be $(E_{51}n_0^2)$, so that the equations should read

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_s(t_{\text{rad}}) &= 188 \text{ km s}^{-1}(E_{51}n_0^2)^{0.07}, \\
  T_s(t_{\text{rad}}) &= 4.86 \times 10^5 \text{ K}(E_{51}n_0^2)^{0.13}, \\
  kT_s(t_{\text{rad}}) &= 41 \text{ eV}(E_{51}n_0^2)^{0.13}.
\end{align*}
\]

noted 2012.10.02 by G.B. Field.

• §39.2, p. 435, footnote 1, typo (twice): occuring → occurring
  noted 2011.04.12 by B. Hensley.

• §39.4, p. 438, Eqs. (39.35) and (39.36), typos: they should read

\[
\begin{align*}
  N_{\text{SN}} &= 0.24S_{-13}E_{51}^{1.26}n_0^{-1.47}c_{s,6}^{-13/5} \\
  &= 0.48S_{-13}E_{51}^{1.26}n_0^{-0.17}p_4^{-1.30}, \quad p_4 = \frac{p/k}{10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}}
\end{align*}
\]

noted 2014.06.27 by B. Jiang.

• §39.4, p. 438, Eq. (39.37), typos: Eq. (39.37) should read

\[
\frac{p}{k} = S_{-13}E_{51}^{0.97}n_0^{-0.13} \times 5700 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}
\]

noted 2014.06.27 by B. Jiang.

• §39.4, p. 439, typo: neighborhood → neighborhood
  noted 2011.04.14

• §40.2, p. 442, typo: with a increased energy → with an increased energy
  noted 2011.04.26

• §40.5, p. 447, typo: protons with $E \lesssim 10^5 \text{ GeV}$ have $R_{\text{gyro}} < 10^{-4} \text{ pc}$ → protons with $E \lesssim 10^3 \text{ GeV}$ have $R_{\text{gyro}} < 10^{-4} \text{ pc}$
  noted 2011.04.26

• §40.9, p. 450, typo: $e^+H \rightarrow H^+ + 2\gamma$ → $e^+ + H \rightarrow H^+ + 2\gamma$
  noted 2011.04.27
• §41.3, p. 456, typo: missing factor of $G$. Eq. (41.36) should read

$$E_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{G}{2} \int dV_1 \int dV_2 \frac{\rho(r_1) \rho(r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|}$$

(41.36)

noted 2015.04.30 by J. Greco.

• §41.3.2, p. 457, Eq. (41.46), typo: replace

$$E_{\text{mag}} = B_{\text{rms}}^2 - B_0^2 \frac{8}{\pi} V \rightarrow E_{\text{mag}} = \frac{B_{\text{rms}}^2}{8\pi} V$$

noted 2011.04.28

• §41.4, p. 460, Eq. (41.55), typo: $\langle \sigma v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{nt}}$

noted 2012.04.16

• §41.4, p. 460, Eq. (41.55), typo: $m_m \rightarrow m_n$

noted 2013.04.30 by K. Silsbee

• §41.4, p. 461, Eq. (41.56), typo: $\langle \sigma v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{nt}}$

noted 2012.04.16

• §41.6, p. 463, typo: ... the allows the $\rightarrow$ ... this allows the

noted 2011.04.28 by B. Hensley

• §41.6, p. 463, typo: magenetic $\rightarrow$ magnetic

noted 2011.01.10


(also corrected in Bibliography)

noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §42.2, p. 467, last paragraph, typo: ...face-on it, may... $\rightarrow$ ...face-on, it may...

noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §42.4, p. 470, 3rd paragraph should read

... to be $Q_{0,\text{MW}} = (3.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{53} s^{-1}$, after...

noted 2011.01.04

• §42.5, p. 471, Eq. (42.9) typo: dsik $\rightarrow$ disk

noted 2011.01.04

• Plate 5 caption: 2nd sentence should read

... synchrotron emission seen in Plate 4.

noted 2011.01.12

• Appendix A, p. 473, typo: entry for $a_0$ should read

...Bohr radius $\equiv h^2/m_e e^2 =$...

noted 2013.03.05 by Wenhua Ju.
• Appendix A, p. 475: entry for \(RM\) should read
  \(RM\) ... see Eq. (11.23)
  noted 2011.01.05

• Appendix B, p. 476: typo: incorrect units for Stefan-Boltzmann constant \(\sigma\):
  \[5.67040 \times 10^{-5} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3} \text{K}^{-4} \rightarrow 5.67040 \times 10^{-5} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-4}\]
  noted 2019.05.14 by Aaron Tran.

• Appendix D, p. 481: corrected typos:
  \[\text{F VI} \rightarrow \text{VII}: \ I = 147.163 \rightarrow 157.163\]
  \[\text{Ne VI} \rightarrow \text{VII}: \ I = 154.214 \rightarrow 157.934\]
  \[\text{Ti III} \rightarrow \text{IV}: \ I = 24.492 \rightarrow 27.492\]
  \[\text{Ti V} \rightarrow \text{VI}: \ I = 123.7 \rightarrow 99.299\]
  \[\text{Zn VI} \rightarrow \text{VII}: \ I = 133.903 \rightarrow 108.0\]
  noted 2015.07.10 by Guangtun Ben Zhu.

• Appendix E, p. 483, typo: Pfundt \rightarrow Pfund
  noted 2011.04.28 by B. Hensley.

• Appendix E, p. 484: diagram for C IV: the wavelength labels 1548.2 and 1550.8 should be interchanged.
  noted 2011.03.11

• Appendix E, p. 486: labelling of the fine-structure excited state for C II, N III, and O IV should have \(J = 3/2\) (not \(J = 1/2\)).
  noted 2012.01.29 by E.B. Jenkins.

• Appendix E, p. 495: \(^2\text{D}^o_{3/2,5/2}\) energy levels were misplotted for S II and Ar IV.
  noted 2013.10.21 by Bon-Chul Koo.
  Corrected figure [Opportunity taken to update energy Ar IV energy levels
using latest values from NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.1 [Online]):

- Appendix F, Table F.2, p. 497, typo: the first transition listed for S III: change $^3P_0^0 - ^3P_0^0 \to ^3P_0^0 - ^3P_1^0$ noted 2016.10.03 by C.D. Kreisch.

- Appendix F, Table F.3, p. 498: updated electron collision strengths for O I:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>$\ell - \mu$</th>
<th>$\Omega_{u\ell}$</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O I</td>
<td>$^3P_2 - ^3P_1$</td>
<td>0.0105 $T_4^{0.4861+0.0054 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>$^3P_2 - ^3P_0$</td>
<td>0.00459 $T_4^{0.4507-0.0066 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>$^3P_1 - ^3P_0$</td>
<td>0.00015 $T_4^{0.4709-0.1396 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>$^3P_2 - ^1D_2$</td>
<td>0.0312$(2J+1) T_4^{0.945-0.001 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>$^3P_2 - ^1S_0$</td>
<td>0.00353$(2J+1) T_4^{0.000-0.135 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>$^1D_2 - ^1S_0$</td>
<td>0.0893 $T_4^{0.662-0.089 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...  

a fit to Bell et al. (1998)
b fit to Zatsarriny & Tayal (2003)

noted 2015.02.27

- Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: The table title should be “Rate Coefficients for ... Deexcitation...” rather than “... Excitation...”. noted 2015.07.03

- Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: incorrect powers of 10 in lines 5 and 6: $k_{u\ell}$ for $\ell-\mu = ^3P_0^0-^3P_1^0$ should read $1.26 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.115+0.057 \ln T_2}$
Errata

For $k_{\ell\ell'} = 3P_0 - 3P_2$ should read $2.64 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.231 + 0.046 \ln T_2}$

NB!: See also erratum below on inadvertent interchange of $3P_0 - 3P_2$ and $3P_1 - 3P_2$ deexcitation rates.

Noted 2012.05.02 by M.J. Wolfire

- Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: the rates for entries 5 and 6 should be interchanged, so that entries 4-6 read

  $H$ $C$ $I$ $3P_0 - 3P_1$ $1.26 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.115 + 0.057 \ln T_2}$ $b$

  $H$ $C$ $I$ $3P_0 - 3P_2$ $8.90 \times 10^{-11} T_2^{0.228 + 0.046 \ln T_2}$ $b$

  $H$ $C$ $I$ $3P_1 - 3P_2$ $2.64 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.231 + 0.046 \ln T_2}$ $b$

  Noted 2015.07.03 by Munan Gong.

- Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: the rates for entries 23-28 should be changed to

  $H_2$ (para) $O$ $I$ $3P_2 - 3P_1$ $1.49 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.369 - 0.026 \ln T_2}$ $h$

  $H_2$ (ortho) $O$ $I$ $3P_2 - 3P_1$ $1.37 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.395 - 0.005 \ln T_2}$ $h$

  $H_2$ (para) $O$ $I$ $3P_2 - 3P_0$ $2.37 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.255 + 0.016 \ln T_2}$ $h$

  $H_2$ (ortho) $O$ $I$ $3P_2 - 3P_0$ $2.23 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.284 + 0.035 \ln T_2}$ $h$

  $H_2$ (para) $O$ $I$ $3P_1 - 3P_0$ $2.10 \times 10^{-12} T_2^{0.117 + 0.070 \ln T_2}$ $h$

  $H_2$ (ortho) $O$ $I$ $3P_1 - 3P_0$ $3.00 \times 10^{-12} T_2^{0.792 + 0.188 \ln T_2}$ $h$

  Noted 2015.08.24 by E.B. Jenkins.

- Appendix G, p. 503, typo just before Eq. (G.7): change

  ...solution $x_0 = e^{-i\omega t}$ \rightarrow \text{...solution } x = x_0 e^{-i\omega t}$

  Noted 2019.02.11.

- Appendix I, p. 506, typo: ...a time $\sim E_uT/h$ \rightarrow \text{...a time } \sim h/E_uT$

  Noted 2013.02.07 by Munan Gong.

- Appendix I, p. 507, typo (missing $1/2$): Eq. (I.4) should read

  $$b_{\text{crit}}(v) = Wa_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{Ze^2 / Wa_0}{m_e v^2 / 2} \right]^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (I.4)

  Noted 2011.02.08 by B. Hensley.

- Appendix I, p. 507, typo (1578 \rightarrow 31.56): Eq. (I.7) should read

  $$\frac{Ze^2}{a_0 kT} = \frac{31.56 Z}{T_a}$$

  Noted 2019.01.14.

- Appendix J, p. 508, Eq. (J.3), typo in line 3:

  $$\int dV \frac{\partial}{\partial j} \left( v_j \rho v_i x_i \right) \rightarrow \int dV \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( v_j \rho v_i x_i \right)$$

  Noted 2011.02.14 by Xu Huang.
• Appendix J, p. 510, Eq. (J.13), typo:

\[ \Pi_0 \equiv \oint dS \cdot r_p \quad \rightarrow \quad \Pi_0 \equiv \frac{1}{3} \oint dS \cdot r_p \]

noted 2017.03.08.