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Errata in the first printing:

- preface, p. xvii, typo: reaquaint → reacquaint  
  noted 2011.02.13 by B. Hensley.

- Plate 5 caption, typo: 
  ...seen in Plate 6. → ...seen in Plate 4.  
  noted 2018.04.07 by L. Bouma.

- §1, p. 2, 1st paragraph, typo: 
  nuclear transitions and $\pi^0$ decays. → nuclear transitions, $\pi^0$ decays, and $e^+ - e^-$ annihilations.  
  noted 2012.06.26

- §1.1, p. 6, Table 1.3: change range of densities for H II gas from $0.3 - 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ to $0.2 - 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$.  
  noted 2011.09.22 by B. Ménard.

- §1.2, p. 8, Table 1.4: change abundance of P from $N_P/N_H = 3.23 \times 10^{-7} \pm 0.03$, $M_P/M_H = 1.00 \times 10^{-5}$ to $N_P/N_H = 2.82 \times 10^{-7} \pm 0.03$, $M_P/M_H = 8.73 \times 10^{-6}$.  
  noted 2013.10.21 by Bon-Chul Koo.

- §2, p. 11, 3rd paragraph, typo: three basic types → four basic types  
  noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

- §3.6, p. 28, Eq. 3.31, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (3.31) should read 
  \[ \sigma_{r,u}(E) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g(X_X) (I_{X,u} + E)^2}{g(X_u^+)} \frac{1}{E m_e c^2} \sigma_{p,i}(h\nu = I_{X,\ell} + E), \]  
  noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins

- §3.7, p. 28, Eq. (3.33), typo: sign error. Change $e^{-I_n/kT}$ → $e^{I_n/kT}$.  
  noted 2017.02.09

- §3.8, p. 31, Eq. (3.48), typo: change 
  \[ I_{n\alpha} \propto A_{n\alpha} h\nu_{n\alpha} \int n[H(n)] ds \propto n^{-6} b_n \int n_e n(H^+) ds \]
\[ I_{n\alpha} \propto A_{n\alpha} h\nu_{nn} \int n[H(n+1)] ds \propto n^{-6} b_{n+1} \int n_e n(H^+) ds \]

noted 2019.02.06

- §5.2.2, p. 50, Fig. 5.5: add reference to caption: (Chandra et al. 1984)

noted 2011.11.03.

- §5.2.2, p. 50, 3rd paragraph, typos: change
  para-H\textsubscript{2}O must have \( K_{-1} + K_{+1} \) odd \( \rightarrow \) para-H\textsubscript{2}O must have \( K_{-1} + K_{+1} \) even
  and
  ortho-H\textsubscript{2}O must have \( K_{-1} + K_{+1} \) even \( \rightarrow \) ortho-H\textsubscript{2}O must have \( K_{-1} + K_{+1} \) odd

noted 2015.01.15 by Neal Evans.

- §5.2.2, p. 50: the text should have made clear that the selection rules given were specifically for H\textsubscript{2}O: change
  The selection rules for electric dipole radiative transitions are \( \Delta J = 0, \pm 1; \Delta K_{-1} = \pm 1, \pm 3; \) and \( \Delta K_{+1} = \pm 1, \pm 3. \)
  to
  The selection rules for electric dipole radiative transitions in H\textsubscript{2}O are \( \Delta J = 0, \pm 1; \Delta K_{-1} = \pm 1, \pm 3; \) and \( \Delta K_{+1} = \pm 1, \pm 3; \) for less symmetric molecules (e.g., HDO) additional transitions are allowed.

noted 2011.11.03 by J. M. Shull.

- §6.4, p. 58, Eq. (6.29), typo: replace 7618 cm\textsuperscript{-1} \( \rightarrow \) 7616 cm\textsuperscript{-1}
  and in the following line change 7618 cm\textsuperscript{-1} \( \rightarrow \) 7616 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

noted 2011.08.18 by K.-G. Lee.

- §6.4, p. 58, typos: change
  H Lyman \( \alpha \) (\( \lambda = 1215 \) \AA\) has \( f_{\ell u} = 0.4162 \)
  \( \rightarrow \)
  H Lyman \( \alpha \) (\( \lambda = 1215.67 \) \AA\) has \( f_{\ell u} = 0.4164, \)
  and in the following sentence, change 0.4162 \( \rightarrow \) 0.4164.

noted 2011.08.19

- §6.4, p. 60, Eq. (6.41), typo: replace
  \[ 2924 \left[ \frac{7618 \text{ cm s}^{-1}}{\gamma_{\ell u} \lambda_{\ell u}} b_{\ell u} \right] \rightarrow 2925 \left[ \frac{7616 \text{ cm s}^{-1}}{\gamma_{\ell u} \lambda_{\ell u}} b_{\ell u} \right] \]
  and in Eq. (6.42) change 7618 cm\textsuperscript{-1} \( \rightarrow \) 7616 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

noted 2011.08.18 by K.-G. Lee.

- §7.5, p. 69, Eq. (7.29), typo: missing a factor \( n_{\ell}. \) Should read
  \[ \kappa_{\nu} = n_{\ell} \sigma_{\ell \rightarrow u} \left( 1 - \frac{n_u}{n_{\ell} g_{\ell}} \right) < 0 \]

noted 2020.10.12 by Yan Liang.
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• §8.1, p. 71, 3 places: just before Eq. (8.4), just after Eq. (8.7), and between Eq. (8.8) and (8.9): change “absorption coefficient” → “attenuation coefficient”.
  noted 2011.03.07

• §8.1, p. 71, Eq. (8.9), typo: missing a factor $n(H\ I)$. Should read:
  $$\kappa_\nu = \frac{3}{32\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{A_u \chi_\nu^2}{\sigma_V} \frac{hc}{kT_{spin}} n(H\ I) e^{-u^2/2\sigma_v^2}$$  
  (8.9)
  noted 2011.03.07 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §8.1, p. 71, Eq. (8.10), typo: omit the comma.
  noted 2010.02.09

• §8.2, p. 72, Eq. (8.17), typo: change 54.89 → 55.17
  noted 2011.07.06 by R. Allen.

• §8.2, p. 73, Eq. (8.21), typo: change $(1 + z) \rightarrow (1 + z)^{-1}$
  noted 2012.06.01 by B. Catinella and N. Evans.

• §8.3, p. 74, Eq. (8.26), typo: $T_{sky}(v) \rightarrow T_{sky}$ (two occurrences).
  noted 2011.02.10

• §8.3, p. 74, Eq. (8.26), typos: $T_{on} A(v) \rightarrow T_{off} A(v)$ (two occurrences).
  noted 2013.02.14 by Munan Gong.

• §9.4, p. 79, Eq. (9.21), the second “=” should be changed to “≈”.
  noted 2011.08.18 by K.-G. Lee.

• §9.8, p. 84, typo in line following Eq. (9.35): change
  $$(v_{FWHM}/2\ km\ s^{-1})^2/3 \rightarrow (v_{FWHM}/2\ km\ s^{-1})^{2/3}.$$  
  noted 2020.09.09 by Roohi Dalal.

• §9.10, Table 9.4, p. 88, typos: for C II and N III, change $^2D_J \rightarrow ^2D_J$ for $J = 3/2$ and $J = 5/2$.
  noted 2015.02.12 by Semyeong Oh.

• §10.2, sentence preceding Eq. (10.5): change
  ...the Gaunt factor from quantum-mechanical calculations is approximately
  →
  ...the Gaunt factor is approximately (Scheuer 1960)
  noted 2018.11.18 by S. Weinberg.

• §10.5, p. 96, Eq. (10.23), typo (extraneous factor of $cm^5$):
  $$...\nu_0^{-2.118} cm^5 \left(\frac{n_i}{n_p}\right) \frac{EM}{10^{25} cm^{-5}} \rightarrow ...\nu_0^{-2.118} \left(\frac{n_i}{n_p}\right) \frac{EM}{10^{25} cm^{-5}}$$
  noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley and P. Pattarakijwanich.
§10.5, p. 97, Eq. (10.25), typo (missing factor of 2): should read
\[ j_{b,\nu} = \frac{g_b}{g_e g_i} \frac{2 h^4 \nu^3}{(2\pi m_e kT)^{3/2} c^2} \left( \frac{L_h}{h\nu} \right) \sigma_{b,pi}(\nu)n_e n_i \]
noted 2021.02.14 by Shigenobu Hirose.

§10.5, p. 97, footnote 3, typo:
\[ 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc} \rightarrow 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}. \]
noted 2011.02.15 by C. Petrovich.

§11.4, p. 110, Eq. (11.35) should read
\[ \nu \ll \frac{e^{2(\Delta n_e)_{L,\text{rms}}}}{2\pi m_e c} (2LD)^{1/2} = 1 \times 10^3 \text{ GHz} \frac{(\Delta n_e)_{L,\text{rms}}}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \left( \frac{L}{10^{14} \text{ cm}} \frac{D}{\text{kpc}} \right)^{1/2}. \]
noted 2013.02.03 by W. Vlemmings.

§11.4, p. 110, Eq. (11.34), typo (was off by factor 10^4): should read
\[ = 6.53 \times 10^{-5} \text{ arcsec} \left( \frac{D/\text{kpc}}{L/10^{14} \text{ cm}} \right)^{1/2} (\Delta n_e)_{L,\text{rms}} \frac{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}}{\nu_9^{-2}} \]
noted 2021.10.25 by I. Wasserman.

§12.1, p. 120, Eq. (12.1), add: where \( \nu_9 \equiv \nu/\text{GHz} \)
noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

§12, p. 121, Table 12.1, typos:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMB, ( T ) = 2.725 K</th>
<th>4.19 \times 10^{-13} \rightarrow 4.17 \times 10^{-13}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 = 4000 \text{ K}, W_2 = 1.65 \times 10^{-13} )</td>
<td>3.19 \times 10^{-13} \rightarrow 3.20 \times 10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_3 = 7500 \text{ K}, W_3 = 1 \times 10^{-14} )</td>
<td>2.29 \times 10^{-13} \rightarrow 2.39 \times 10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Starlight total | 1.05 \times 10^{-12} \rightarrow 1.06 \times 10^{-12} |
| ISRF total | 2.19 \times 10^{-12} \rightarrow 1.98 \times 10^{-12}. |

noted 2012.11.08

§12.5, p. 123, below eq. (12.4): change
\...\ W_1 by 40\%, from W_1 = 5 \times 10^{-13} to 7 \times 10^{-13}. \rightarrow
\...\ W_1 by 75\%, from W_1 = 4 \times 10^{-13} to 7 \times 10^{-13}, and raised W_2 from 1.0 \times 10^{-13} to 1.65 \times 10^{-13}.
noted 2014.11.11 by S. Bianchi.

§13.1, pp. 128, eq. (13.1), (13.3), (13.4): for notational consistency with the rest of the chapter, change \( \sigma_{\text{pe}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pi}} \)
noted 2018.01.07 by L. Bouma.

§13.1, p. 128, typo:
\[ \sigma_{\text{pe}}(H_2) = 2.8 \sigma_{\text{p},i}(H) \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pe}}(H_2) = 2.8 \sigma_{\text{pe}}(H) \]
noted 2011.03.06
• §13.1, p. 129, clarification:
  ...photoionization cross sections for O... → ...photoionization cross sections \( \sigma_{pi} \) for O...
  noted 2011.03.06

• §13.1, p. 130, Eq. (13.5), clarification:
  \( \zeta_{pi} \) → \( \zeta_{pi} \), \( \sigma_{pe} \) → \( \sigma_{pi} \)
  noted 2011.03.06

• §13.1, p. 130, second paragraph, typo:
  ...to \( 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{s}^{-1} \) for Si → ...to \( 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{s}^{-1} \) for Si
  noted 2017.03.05

• §13.1, p. 131, Table 13.1, typo: \( \zeta_{p,i} \) → \( \zeta_{pi} \), \( \sigma_{p,i} \) → \( \sigma_{pi} \)
  noted 2011.03.06

• §13.4, p. 134, typos:
  \( \sigma_{c,i} \) → \( \sigma_{ci} \) (4 places), \( k_{c,i} \) → \( k_{ci} \) (2 places).
  noted 2011.03.06

• §14.2, p. 138, Table 14.1. A reference to Burgess (1965; Mem. Royal Astr. Soc., 69, 1) [the source of the hydrogenic radiative recombination rates] has been added in the table footnote. Upon recomputing the rates from Burgess, a few of the table entries had the last digit change by 1. Some of the coefficients in the approximate fitting formulae have also changed slightly. Here is the revised version:

Table 14.1 Recombination coefficients \( \alpha_{nf} \) (cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)) for H.\(^{6}\) The approximate formulae are valid for \( 0.3 \lesssim T_4 \lesssim 3 \). For a broader range of \( T \), see Eq. (14.5,14.6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature ( T )</th>
<th>( n = 2L )</th>
<th>( 5 \times 10^3 \text{K} )</th>
<th>( 1 \times 10^4 \text{K} )</th>
<th>( 2 \times 10^4 \text{K} )</th>
<th>approximation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{1s} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.58 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.08 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.58 \times 10^{-13} T_4^{-0.540} - 0.017 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{2s} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.37 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.34 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.60 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.34 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.537} - 0.019 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{2p} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.33 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>5.36 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>3.24 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>5.36 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.681} - 0.061 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{3s} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.17 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>7.70 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>4.84 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>7.70 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.636} - 0.046 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{3p} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.13 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>7.82 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>5.29 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>7.82 \times 10^{-15} T_4^{-0.547} - 0.024 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{3d} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.17 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.04 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.24 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.04 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.638} - 0.062 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{3} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.03 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.73 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>9.09 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>1.73 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.868} - 0.093 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{4s} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.33 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>4.55 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.67 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>4.55 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.729} - 0.060 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{4p} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.23 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>3.59 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>2.40 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>3.59 \times 10^{-15} T_4^{-0.562} - 0.026 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{4d} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>9.66 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>5.80 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>9.66 \times 10^{-15} T_4^{-0.691} - 0.064 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{4} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.08 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>5.67 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>1.08 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.870} - 0.094 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{4f} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.09 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>5.54 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>2.57 \times 10^{-15}</td>
<td>5.54 \times 10^{-15} T_4^{-1.041} - 0.100 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{5} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.02 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.96 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.64 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>2.96 \times 10^{-14} T_4^{-0.805} - 0.065 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{A} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.81 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>4.17 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.51 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>4.17 \times 10^{-13} T_4^{-0.721} - 0.018 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{B} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.53 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.59 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.43 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.59 \times 10^{-13} T_4^{-0.833} - 0.035 \ln T_4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \alpha_{nf} \) from Burgess (1965); \( \alpha_{B} \) from Hummer & Storey (1987) (for \( n_e = 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \))
• §14.2, p. 139, typos: In Equations (14.3) and (14.4), the leading factor of $Z$ should be to the first power, rather than $Z^2$: the equations should read

\[
\alpha_A(T) \approx 4.13 \times 10^{-13} Z \left( \frac{T_4}{Z^2} \right)^{-0.7131 - 0.0115 \ln(T_4/Z^2)} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (14.3)
\]
\[
\alpha_B(T) \approx 2.54 \times 10^{-13} Z \left( \frac{T_4}{Z^2} \right)^{-0.8163 - 0.0208 \ln(T_4/Z^2)} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}. \quad (14.4)
\]

noted 2012.01.04 by E. Jenkins.

• Fig. 14.1, p. 140, typos: the quantities plotted should be labelled $Z^{-2}T_4^{1/2}\alpha_A$ and $Z^{-2}T_4^{1/2}\alpha_B$ (rather than $Z^{-3}T_4^{1/2}\alpha_A$ and $Z^{-3}T_4^{1/2}\alpha_B$):

\[\text{Figure 14.1} \quad \text{Case A and Case B rate coefficients $\alpha_A$ and $\alpha_B$ for radiative recombination of hydrogen, multiplied by $T_4^{1/2}$ (equations 14.5, 14.6). Note that no single power-law fit can reproduce the $T$-dependence over a wide range in $T$.} \]

noted 2012.01.04 by E. B. Jenkins.

• Table 14.2, p. 143, typo: Pfundt $\rightarrow$ Pfund

noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley.

• §14.2.4, p. 144, Eq. (14.11), typo: $1880 \text{ cm}^{-3} \rightarrow 1.55 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$

noted 2011.03.17

• §14.5, p. 151, typo: [OIII]4959,5007 $\rightarrow$ [OIII]4960,5008

noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §14.6, p. 153, typo:

...from the wave function of $AB$... $\rightarrow$ ...from the wave function of $AB^+$...

noted 2011.03.05 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §14.6, p. 154, Table 14.8 update: replace

\[H_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 + H \quad 1.1 \times 10^{-7}T_2^{-0.56} \quad \text{McCall et al. (2004)} \]

with
\begin{align*}
H^+_3 + e^- & \rightarrow H + H + H \quad 8.9 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} \quad \text{McCall et al. (2004)} \\
H^+_3 + e^- & \rightarrow H_2 + H \quad 5.0 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} \quad \text{McCall et al. (2004)}
\end{align*}

- §14.7.1, p. 155, typo:
  \[ I_{O(3P_0)} = 13.6181 \text{ eV}, \quad \rightarrow \quad I_{O(3P_2)} = 13.6181 \text{ eV}, \]
  noted 2011.02.22 by Xu Huang.

- §14.7.1, p. 156, Eq. (14.21), typo:
  \[ \text{H}(^1S_{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{H}(^2S_{1/2}) \]
  noted 2022.07.06 by S. R. Kulkarni.

- §14.7.1, p. 156, Eq. (14.31), for notational consistency: \[ n(\text{H}) \rightarrow n(\text{H}^0) \]
  noted 2011.05.15 by E. B. Jenkins.

- §14.7.1, p. 156, just before Eq. (14.35), typo:
  \begin{align*}
  \text{In the low density limit...} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{In the high density limit...}
  \end{align*}
  noted 2011.05.15 by E. B. Jenkins.

- §14.7.1, p. 157, Figure 14.5: plotted curves were numerically incorrect.
  Corrected Figure 14.5:

  \begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure14.5.png}
  \caption{Dependence of oxygen ionization fraction on hydrogen ionization fraction due to charge exchange. The low-density limit applies for \( n_\text{H} \lesssim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \).}
  \label{fig:14.5}
  \end{figure}

  noted 2011.05.18 by E. B. Jenkins.

- §14.9, p. 159, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (14.41) should read
  \[ \sigma_{rr}(E) = \frac{g_\ell}{2g_u} \frac{(I + E)^2}{E m_e c^2} \sigma_{\nu}(h\nu = I + E) \]  \quad (14.41)
  noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins.
• §14.9, p. 160, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (14.43) should read
\[
\frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{rr}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{ci}} \approx 2\pi\alpha^3 \frac{f_{pi}}{C} \frac{I}{kT} e^{I/kT},
\]
(14.43)
noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins.

• §14.9, p. 160, typo: factor of 2 error. Eq. (14.44) and following should read
\[
\frac{I}{kT} e^{I/kT} = \frac{C}{2\pi f_{pi}} \frac{1}{\alpha^3},
\]
(14.44)
If $C \approx 1$ and $f_{pi} \approx 1$, this has solution $I/kT \approx 10.6$. ...
noted 2015.06.01 by E. B. Jenkins.

• §15.1, p. 163, typo: $\sigma_{p,i} \rightarrow \sigma_{pi}$ (two places)
noted 2011.03.05

• §15.1.2, p. 163, change
the Case B radiative recombination rate for $\text{He}^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{He}^0$ is $\sim 1.9$ times larger than for hydrogen.
\[
\frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}(\text{He})}{\alpha_B(\text{H})} = 1.1 - 1.7, \text{ depending on the fraction } y \text{ of } h\nu > 24.6 \text{ eV photons that are absorbed by H.}
\]
noted 2011.03.17

• Table 15.1, p. 164, typo: $M/M_\odot$ for O6.5V star: 38.0 → 28.0
noted 2013.01.31

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change
will be $\sim 18\%$ → will be $\sim 14\%$
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change
if $Q_1 < 0.18Q_0$, → if $Q_1 \lesssim 0.14Q_0$,
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change
$Q_1/Q_0 \geq 0.18$, → $Q_1/Q_0 \gtrsim 0.14$,
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.1.2, p. 165, change
O6.1 V and earlier, O5.3 III and earlier, and O4 I and earlier – have $Q_1/Q_0 \gtrsim 0.18$.
→ O6.9 V and earlier, O6.5 III and earlier, and O6 I and earlier – have $Q_1/Q_0 \gtrsim 0.14$.
noted 2011.03.17

• §15.4, p. 168, Eq. (15.19), typo: $\sigma_d \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
noted 2011.02.24 by Xu Huang.
• §15.3, p. 166, Eqs. (15.10, 15.11), typo: $\sigma_{\text{p,i.}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pi}}$
  noted 2011.03.06

• §15.3, p. 167, Eq. (15.12), typo: $\sigma_{\text{p,i.}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{pi}}$
  noted 2011.03.06

• §15.3, p. 167, Eq. (15.13), typo:
  \[3360 \left( \frac{Q_0}{49} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{n_2^{1/3}} \rightarrow 2880 \left( \frac{Q_0}{49} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{n_2^{1/3}} T_4^{0.28}\]
  where we have taken $\sigma_{\text{pi}} = 2.95 \times 10^{-18}$ cm$^2$.
  noted 2011.03.17

• §15.4, p. 169, Eq. (15.27) (twice) and following paragraph (twice): typo:
  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
  noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley.

• §15.4, p. 170, Eq. (15.30), typo:
  $\sigma_{\text{d}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
  noted 2011.03.05 by B. Hensley.

• §15.4, p. 170, following Eq. (15.30), add:
  \[\text{where } \sigma_{d,-21} = \sigma_{\text{dust}}/10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2.\]
  noted 2011.03.05

• §15.5, p. 172, line 4, typo: ...
  about the He ...
  \[\rightarrow\]
  ... above the He ...
  noted 2011.03.06 by S. Ferraro

• §15.5, p. 174, sentence preceding Eq. (15.36), typo:
  \[N(\text{He}^+)/N(\text{H}^+) < \frac{n_H}{n_{\text{He}}} \rightarrow N(\text{He}^+)/N(\text{H}^+) < \frac{n_{\text{He}}}{n_{\text{H}}}\]
  noted 2020.09.29 by H. Jia

• §15.7.1, p. 179, Eq. (15.53), typo: $\sigma_{d} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{dust}}$
  noted 2011.03.05

• §15.7, p. 180, typo: substantially reduced \rightarrow substantially increased
  noted 2011.02.24

• §15.8, p. 180, Eq. (15.59), typo: there is a spurious factor of $c$ in the denominator. It should read
  \[U \equiv \frac{1}{n_{\text{H}}} \int_{\nu_0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu n_{\nu}}{h\nu} \, d\nu\]
  noted 2011.03.06 by S. Ferraro.

• §16.4, p. 186, Eq. (16.9, 16.10), update: change
  \[\text{H}_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H} \quad , \quad k_{16.9} = 4.1 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.52} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} ,\]
  \[\text{H}_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{H} + \text{H} \quad , \quad k_{16.10} = 7.7 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.52} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} ,\]
  to
  \[\text{H}_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H} \quad , \quad k_{16.9} = 5.0 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} ,\]
  \[\text{H}_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{H} + \text{H} \quad , \quad k_{16.10} = 8.9 \times 10^{-8} T_2^{-0.48} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} ,\]
and cite McCull et al. (2004) for $k_{16,9}$ and $k_{16,10}$.

- §16.4, p. 187, typo: in paragraph below Eq. (16.15), change $x_e \approx x_M \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ → $x_e \approx x_M \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ (see Eq. 16.3)

- §16.5, p. 188, Eq. (16.16), typo: should read

$$H_2 + CR \rightarrow H_2^+ + e^- + CR$$

noted 2020.09.29 by R. Córdova

- §16.5, p. 188, Eq. (16.18), added information:

$$H_3^+ + M \rightarrow M H^+ + H_2 : \quad k_{16,18} \approx 2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (16.18)$$

noted 2011.04.03

- §16.5, p. 189, Eq. (16.25), typo: in numerator of RHS, replace $k_{16,19} \rightarrow A$, so that it reads

$$n_e/n_H = \left[ B^2 + 4A\zeta_{CR}(1 + \phi_s)/n_H \right]^{1/2} - B \quad (16.25)$$

noted 2011.03.30 by C. Hill.

- §16.5, p. 189, Fig. 16.3. The original figure was evaluated with a too-large rate for $k_{16,19}$. The figure has been redone, now also showing the result if $\zeta_{CR} = 1 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$.
Figure 16.3 Fractional ionization in a dark cloud, estimated using Eq. (16.25), with the grain recombination rate coefficients set to \( k_{16.20} = k_{16.22} = 10^{-14} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) (see Fig. 14.6). The dashed line is a simple power-law approximation \( x_e \approx 2 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{n_{\text{H}}}{\text{cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/2} \).

noted 2013.03.05.

• §17.2, p. 192, Table 17.1. This has been revised to include critical densities for both H and e−:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>( \ell )</th>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( E_u/k ) (K)</th>
<th>( E_u/k ) (K)</th>
<th>( \lambda_{ul} ) (µm)</th>
<th>( n_{\text{crit},w}(\text{H}) ) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>( n_{\text{crit},w}(\text{e}^-) ) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>( ^2\text{P}_{1/2} )</td>
<td>( ^2\text{P}_{3/2} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91.21</td>
<td>157.74</td>
<td>2.7 \times 10^3</td>
<td>1.5 \times 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C I</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_0 )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>609.77</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_1 )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_2 )</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>62.44</td>
<td>370.37</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O I</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_0 )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>227.71</td>
<td>63.185</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^5</td>
<td>4.9 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_1 )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_0 )</td>
<td>227.71</td>
<td>326.57</td>
<td>145.53</td>
<td>2.4 \times 10^4</td>
<td>8.6 \times 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si II</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_{1/2} )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_{3/2} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>413.28</td>
<td>34.814</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^5</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si I</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_0 )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110.95</td>
<td>129.68</td>
<td>4.8 \times 10^4</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_1 )</td>
<td>( ^3\text{P}_2 )</td>
<td>110.95</td>
<td>321.07</td>
<td>68.473</td>
<td>9.9 \times 10^4</td>
<td>3.6 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

noted 2011.03.06

• §17.3, p. 195, footnote 3, typos:
  ...frequency \( \sim 8 \times 10^{10} \) Hz... \( \rightarrow \) ...frequency \( \sim 1.1 \times 10^{10} \) Hz...
  ...\( \sim 10^2 \) precession periods. \( \rightarrow \) ...\( \sim 18 \) precession periods.

noted 2020.10.02

• §17.5, p. 197, Eq. (17.27) should read

\[
R_{12} = \left( \frac{g_2}{g_1} \right) \left[ C_{21} e^{-E_{21}/kT} + n_{\gamma,21} A_{21} \right].
\]  

(17.27)

noted 2010.11.27

• §17.7, p. 199, top line, typo: \( n_{\text{H},\text{crit}} \rightarrow n_{\text{crit}}(\text{H}) \)

noted 2011.03.10

• §18.1.2, Fig. 18.3, p. 208, two typos: The ground states of S II and Ar IV should both have degeneracy \( g_0 = 4 \)

noted 2012.11.12 by A. Natta

• §18.4.1, p. 212: Replace wavelength in air with wavelength in vacuo:
  “Balmer jump” at \( \lambda = 3645.1 \) Å \( \rightarrow \) “Balmer jump” at \( \lambda = 3647.0 \) Å

noted 2011.03.11

• §18.4.1, p. 212: Refine wavelength midway between H 20 and H 21 lines:
  \( \lambda_{\text{BJ},\text{red}} = 3682.6 \) Å \( \rightarrow \) \( \lambda_{\text{BJ},\text{red}} = 3682.1 \) Å

noted 2011.03.11
• §18.5, p. 214, Eq. (18.11): Change
... $\Omega_{03}$ is approximately independent of $T_e$, we have

$$\frac{n(O III)}{n(H^+)} = C \frac{I([O III]5008)}{I(H\beta)} T_4^{-0.37} e^{2.917/T_4},$$

(18.11)

to

... $\Omega_{03} \propto T_4^{0.12}$ (see Appendix F), we have

$$\frac{n(O III)}{n(H^+)} = C \frac{I([O III]5008)}{I(H\beta)} T_4^{-0.49} e^{2.917/T_4},$$

(18.11)

noted 2015.02.27

• §19.3, p. 222: revise value for $A_{10}$: replace

$A_{10} = 6.78 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1} \rightarrow A_{10} = 7.16 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Eq. 5.7).

noted 2013.04.17

• §19.3, p. 223: revised numbers according to revised value for $A_{10}$:

- Eq. (19.15): $281 \rightarrow 297$
- Eq. (19.17): $281 \rightarrow 297$
- Eq. (19.19): $46 \rightarrow 50$

noted 2013.04.17

• §19.4, p. 224, typo: functon $\rightarrow$ function

noted 2011.03.11 by C. Petrovich

• §20.1, p. 229, typo just below Eq. (20.2): replace

...unit time that level $x$ will... $\rightarrow$ ...unit time the level $u$ will...

noted 2020.10.12 by Yan Liang

• §21.3, p. 242, typo: ...into the UV. whereas... $\rightarrow$ ...into the UV, whereas...

noted 2011.03.21

• §21.6.1, p. 244, typo: $k^2 = \epsilon_{ISM}\omega^2 c^2 \rightarrow k^2 = \epsilon_{ISM}\omega^2 / c^2$

noted 2011.03.28

• §21.6.1, p. 244, Eq. (21.12), typo:

$$n_{gr} C_{ext}(\omega) = 2\text{Im}(k) = 2\omega c \text{Im}(\sqrt{\epsilon_{ISM}}) \approx \omega c \text{Im}(\epsilon_{ISM})$$

(21.12)

$\rightarrow$

$$n_{gr} C_{ext}(\omega) = 2\text{Im}(k) = 2(\omega/c) \text{Im}(\sqrt{\epsilon_{ISM}}) \approx (\omega/c) \text{Im}(\epsilon_{ISM})$$

(21.12)

noted 2011.03.28

• §22.4.2, p. 252, Eq. (22.27), typo: $4\pi \rightarrow 9\pi$.

noted 2012.06.26

• §22.6, p. 256, footnote 6: the DDSCAT website has moved. Change


noted 2019.03.25
• §23.1, p. 265, typo: lower oscillator strength $f(C II] 2325 \text{ Å}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$
  → larger oscillator strength $f(C II] 2325 \text{ Å}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$
  noted 2012.12.27

• §23.1, p. 266, typo: $\text{Mg}_{2x}\text{Fe}_{2-2x}\text{SiO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Mg}_{2x}\text{Fe}_{2-2x}\text{SiO}_4$
  noted 2011.03.24 by C. Petrovich

• §23.3.2, p. 268, typo: Si-O-Si bending mode → O-Si-O bending mode
  noted 2020.10.12

• §23.3, p. 269, typo: ...that the at most... → ...that at most...
  noted 2011.03.23

• §23.4, p. 272, Fig. 23.5 caption, typo: Lowe panels:... → Lower panels:...
  noted 2011.03.23

• §23.10, p. 280, typo: varies → varies
  noted 2011.03.23

• §23.10, p. 283, typo: totaly → total
  noted 2011.03.23

• §24.2, p. 293, typo: ...does not extend below ∼23K. → ...does not extend below ∼35K.
  noted 2011.03.24

• §24.2, p. 293, typo: ...corresponds the grain... → ...corresponds to the grain...
  noted 2011.03.25

• §25.3, p. 299, typo following Eq. (25.11): change
  ...charge $Z_{gr} = Ua$ can... → ...charge $Z_{gr} = Ua/e$ can...
  noted 2021.06.25 by Yu Fung Wong.

• §26.2, p. 308, Eq. (26.23), numerical error: should read
  \[
  \frac{\omega^2}{2\pi} = 4.6 \text{ GHz} \left( \frac{T_{\text{rot}}}{100 \text{ K}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{0.001 \text{ µm}}{a} \right)^{5/2}
  \] (26.23)
  noted 2014.06.27 by B. Jiang.

• §26.2.2, p. 309, Fig. 26.2: the rightmost abscissa label should read “100”, not “10”.
  noted 2011.03.29 by B. Hensley.
• §26.3.1, p. 311, Eq. (26.24), typo:
\[ \mu = \frac{Qa^2 \omega}{3} \rightarrow \mu = \frac{Qa^2 \omega}{3c} \]
noted 2011.05.01 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §26.3.1, p. 311, Eq. (26.25), typos: The equation should read
\[ \Omega_L = \frac{5UB}{8\pi \rho a^2 c} = 3.7 \times 10^{-10} \left( \frac{3 \text{g cm}^{-3}}{\rho} \right) \left( \frac{U}{\text{Volt}} \right) \left( \frac{B}{5 \mu \text{G}} \right) \left( \frac{0.1 \mu \text{m}}{a} \right)^2 \text{s}^{-1}. \]  
(26.25)
noted 2011.05.01 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §26.3.1, p. 311, after Eq. (26.25), typo:
\[ \frac{2\pi}{\Omega_L} \approx 10 \text{ yr} \rightarrow \frac{2\pi}{\Omega_L} \approx 500 \text{ yr} \]
noted 2011.05.01 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §27.1, p. 315, 2nd paragraph, typo:
...resulting photoelectron will... \rightarrow ...resulting photoelectrons will...
noted 2011.03.31

• §27.1, p. 317, typo: ...injection of photoelectron energy rate... \rightarrow ...injection of photoelectron energy...
noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §27.1, p. 317, typo: ...nebulae dust are dusty,... \rightarrow ...nebulae are dusty,...
noted 2011.03.31

• §27.3.1, p 320, typos in coefficient of \( \ln( T_4 / Z^2 ) \) term: Eq. (27.19) and (27.20) should read
\[ \gamma_A = -1.2130 - 0.0115 \ln( T_4 / Z^2 ) \]  
(27.19)
\[ \gamma_B = -1.3163 - 0.0208 \ln( T_4 / Z^2 ) \]  
(27.20)
and (27.22) and (27.23) should read
\[ \langle E_{rr} \rangle_A = \left[ 0.787 - 0.0115 \ln( T_4 / Z^2 ) \right] kT \]  
(27.21)
\[ \langle E_{rr} \rangle_B = \left[ 0.684 - 0.0208 \ln( T_4 / Z^2 ) \right] kT \]  
(27.22)
noted 2023.01.29 by S. R. Kulkarni.

• §28.1, p. 326, 2nd paragraph, typo: ...form the the... \rightarrow ...form the...
noted 2011.03.31

• §28.2, p. 327, 2nd paragraph, typo:
\( EM \approx 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc} \rightarrow EM \approx 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc} \)
noted 2011.03.31 by C. Petrovich.

• §28.3, p. 328, 4th paragraph, typo: change distance from \( \Theta_1 \text{Ori C} \) to the Orion Bar ionization front: \( \sim 7.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm} \rightarrow \sim 7.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm} \)
noted 2020.10.26
• §29.1, p. 332, 1st paragraph, typo: $b = 0 \rightarrow b = 90^\circ$, so that the 2nd sentence reads
  ...vary as $N(\text{HI}, b) = N(\text{HI}, b = 90^\circ)/ \sin |b| = N_0 \csc |b|$.
  noted 2012.11.04 by R. Simons.

• §29.4, p. 335, typo:
  ...found $nT \approx 2800 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K} ... \rightarrow ...found nT \approx 3800 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K} ...
  noted 2011.04.05

• §29.4, p. 335, typo: ...implies $n_\text{H} \approx 35 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. \rightarrow ...implies $n_\text{H} \approx 50 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.
  noted 2011.04.05

• §30.2, p. 339, typo: ...near threshold are... \rightarrow near-threshold yields are...
  noted 2011.04.05 by B. Hensley.

• §31.4, p. 349, Eq. (31.24), typo: on RHS, change
  \[ \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2 h} \sum_u f_{\text{eu}} \chi_{\text{eu}}^3 u_{\lambda} f_{\text{shield,eu}} \rightarrow \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2 h} \sum_u f_{\text{eu}} \chi_{\text{eu}}^3 u_{\lambda} f_{\text{shield,eu}} P_{\text{diss,eu}} \]
  noted 2013.04.12 by Ai-Lei Sun.

• §31.4, p. 349, Eq. (31.25), typo: $\tau_{1000} \rightarrow \tau_{d,1000}$
  noted 2012.07.10

• §32.1, p. 357, 1st paragraph, typo: ...a their... \rightarrow ...their...
  noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §32.1, p. 357, 2nd paragraph, typo: (see Plate 15). \rightarrow (see Plate 11).
  noted 2011.06.07 by S. Lorenz Martins.

• §32.9, p. 368, typo: magnetic \rightarrow magnetic
  noted 2011.04.11

• §32.9, p. 368, just before eq. (32.11), typo: change
  $A_V/N_\text{H} = 1.87 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2} \rightarrow A_V/N_\text{H} = 5.3 \times 10^{-22} \text{ mag cm}^{-2}$.
  noted 2016.03.04 by Ilsang Yoon.

• §32.11, p. 372, prepenultimate paragraph: terminological correction. Change “core” to “clump” (three occurrences).
  noted 2015.04.16

• §33.1, p. 375, typo: photodisociation \rightarrow photodissociation
  noted 2011.04.11

• §33.1, p. 375, typo: occuring \rightarrow occurring
  noted 2011.04.25 by B. Hensley.

• §33.2.2, p. 378, typo: reaction products should be $\text{OH}^+ + \text{H}_2$
  noted 2011.04.12
• §34.4, p. 386, Eq. (34.10): sign mistake on RHS; change
\[-4\pi r^2 \kappa \frac{dT}{dr} \rightarrow 4\pi r^2 \kappa \frac{dT}{dr}\]
noted 2019.04.18 by G. Halevi.

• §34.4, p. 387, typo: Eq. (34.17) is off by a factor 3, and should read
\[t_{\text{evap}} = \frac{3M}{2M} = \frac{25 \times 2.3(n_H)_c R_e^2 n_{\text{e}}^{1/2} \epsilon^4 \ln \Lambda}{8 \times 0.87 (kT_h)^{2.5}}\]  \hspace{1cm} (34.17)

Eq. (34.18) is numerically correct, but should have shown the dependence on \(\ln \Lambda\):
\[= 5.1 \times 10^4 \text{yr} \left( \frac{(n_H)_c}{30 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{R_e}{10^6 \text{ pc}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{T_h}{10^7 \text{ K}} \right)^{-2.5} \left( \frac{\ln \Lambda}{30} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (34.18)
noted 2013.01.05 by B. Hensley.

• §35.3, p. 392, typo: rate-of-change \(v\) of... → rate-of-change of \(v\)... noted 2011.04.14

• §36.1, p. 397, typo: occuring → occurring noted 2011.04.26

• §36.2.2, p. 399, Eq. (36.8), two corrections: \(8\pi\) → \(4\pi\) and \(B_x B_z v_x\) → \(B_x B_z v_y\). The equation should read
\[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \rho v_x^2 + U v_x + p v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{B_x B_y v_y}{4\pi} - \frac{B_x B_z v_z}{4\pi} \right.
\]
\[\left. - v_j \sigma_{jx} - \kappa \frac{dT}{dx} + \rho v_x \Phi_{\text{grav}} \right] = \Gamma - \Lambda. \]  \hspace{1cm} (36.8)
noted 2011.04.19

• §36.2.3, p. 400, Eq. (36.10): \(8\pi\) → \(4\pi\) (twice) noted 2011.04.19
\(v_x\) multiplying \(B_y B_x\) should be \(v_y\), and \(v_x\) multiplying \(B_z B_x\) should be \(v_z\). noted 2015.12.17 by J. Miralda-Escudé.

The equation should read
\[\left\{ \left[ \frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} \right] v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{B_x B_y v_y + B_x B_z v_z}{4\pi} - \kappa \frac{dT}{dx} \right\} =
\]
\[\left\{ \left[ \frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} \right] v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{B_x B_y v_y + B_x B_z v_z}{4\pi} - \kappa \frac{dT}{dx} \right\}_1,
\]  \hspace{1cm} (36.10)
\[\left\{ \left[ \frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} \right] v_x + \frac{(B_y^2 + B_z^2)}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{B_x B_y v_y + B_x B_z v_z}{4\pi} - \kappa \frac{dT}{dx} \right\}_2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (36.11)
• §36.2.5, p. 401, Eq. (36.16): $8\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ (twice). The equation should read
\[
\frac{\rho_1 u_1^3}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} u_1 p_1 + \frac{u_1 B_1^2}{4\pi} = \frac{\rho_2 u_2^3}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} u_2 p_2 + \frac{u_2 B_2^2}{4\pi}, \tag{36.16}
\]
noted 2011.04.19

• §36.2.5, p. 401, Eq. (36.19): $8\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ (twice). The equation should read
\[
\frac{1}{2} \rho_1 v_3^3 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} p_1 v_s + \frac{B_1^2}{4\pi} v_s = \frac{1}{2} \rho_2 v_2^3 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} p_2 v_s + \frac{B_2^2}{4\pi} v_s x. \tag{1}
\]
noted 2011.04.19

• §36.2.5, p. 402, Eq. (36.27), typo:
\[
\frac{3}{16} \mu v_s^2 \rightarrow \frac{3}{16} \mu v_s^2
\]
noted 2011.05.17 by P. Pattarakijwanich.

• §36.6, p. 409, typo: occurring → occurring
noted 2011.04.25 by B. Hensley.

• §37.1, p. 413, 2nd paragraph: Change
Cases of astrophysical interest will normally have.
→
Many cases of astrophysical interest will have...
noted 2018.04.09.

• §37.1, p. 413, typo just above Eq. (37.3):
\[
J h\nu/c = \rho_1 u_1 h\nu/\mu_i c \ll \rho_1 (u_1^2 + c_1^2 + B_1^2/8\pi).
\]
→
\[
J h\nu/c = \rho_1 u_1 h\nu/\mu_i c \ll \rho_1 (u_1^2 + c_1^2) + B_1^2/8\pi.
\]
noted 2016.12.08 by Ryohei Nakatani.

• §37.1, Eq. (37.8): The correction terms for $u_R$, $x_R$, $u_D$, and $x_D$ can be improved by analyzing the full cubic equation (37.3): change
\[
\begin{align*}
    u_R &\approx 2c_2 \
    x_R &\approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2}{16c_2^2} \
    u_D &\approx \frac{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2}{4c_2} \
    x_D &\approx \frac{4c_2^2}{2c_1^2 + v_{A1}^2}
\end{align*}
\]
noted 2018.02.19 by Woong-Tae Kim.
• §37.1 and §37.2, pp. 414-416: the mathematics is correct, but the “weak-type”, and “strong-type” terminology was unfortunately inverted: all occurrences of “weak-type” should be changed to “strong-type”, and vice-versa:

• §37.1.1, p. 414, first paragraph:
  ...are called strong R-type. Strong R-type solutions...
  →
  ...are called weak R-type. Weak R-type solutions...

• §37.1.1, p. 414, second paragraph:
  ...referred to as weak R-type,... → ...referred to as strong R-type,...

• §37.1.1, p. 414, second paragraph:
  Hence, only strong R-type I-fronts are physically relevant.
  →
  Hence, only weak R-type I-fronts are physically relevant.

• §37.1.2, p. 414, first paragraph:
  ...is termed weak D-type. → ...is termed strong D-type.

• §37.1.2, p. 414, second paragraph:
  ...is termed strong D-type. → ...is termed weak D-type.

• Fig. 37.1 and caption should be:

![Figure 37.1](image)

Figure 37.1 \( u_2/u_1 = \rho_1/\rho_2 \), as a function of the velocity \( u_1 \) of the I-front relative to the neutral gas just ahead of the I-front, for D-type and R-type ionization front solutions (see text) for an example with \( c_1 = 1 \) km s\(^{-1}\), \( v_{A1} = 2 \) km s\(^{-1}\), and \( c_2 = 11.4 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The astrophysically relevant solutions are the strong D-type and weak R-type cases, shown as heavy curves. There are no solutions with \( u_1 \) between \( u_D \) and \( u_R \).

• §37.1, p. 416, first paragraph:
  ...will be strong R-type, ... → ...will be weak R-type, ...

• §37.1, p. 417, fourth line:
  ...will now be weak D-type, ... → ...will now be strong D-type, ...

noted 2016.12.06 by Ryohei Nakatani.
• §37.2, p. 418, typos:
  ...moving at a speed $v_s$ that will be close to (just slightly larger than) the speed of the I-front:
  $$v_s \approx V_i.$$  (37.21)

  \[ \rightarrow \]

  ...moving at a speed $V_s$ that will be close to (just slightly larger than) the speed of the I-front:
  $$V_s \approx V_i.$$  (37.21)

  noted 2016.12.08 by Ryohei Nakatani.

• §38.3, p. 428, last paragraph, typo:
  $\dot{M}_w \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$ km s$^{-1}$ → $\dot{M}_w \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$
  noted 2015.12.17 by J. Miralda-Escudé.

• §39.1.1, p. 430, typo: case of Type II supernova → case of Type II supernovae
  noted 2011.04.21

• §39.1.1, p. 430, typo: relative dense → relatively dense
  noted 2011.04.21

• §39.1.1, p. 430, typo: Plate 11 → Plate 12
  noted 2011.04.21 by C. Petrovich.

• §39.1.2, p. 433, Eqs. (39.22, 39.23, 39.24), typos: the factor $(E_{51}/n_0^2)$ should be $(E_{51}/n_0^2)$, so that the equations should read
  $$v_{s}(t_{rad}) = 188 \text{ km s}^{-1}(E_{51}/n_0^2)^{0.07},$$  (39.22)
  $$T_{s}(t_{rad}) = 4.86 \times 10^5 \text{ K}(E_{51}/n_0^2)^{0.13},$$  (39.23)
  $$kT_{s}(t_{rad}) = 41 \text{ eV}(E_{51}/n_0^2)^{0.13}.$$  (39.24)

  noted 2012.10.02 by G.B. Field.

• §39.2, p. 435, footnote 1, typo (twice): occuring → occurring
  noted 2011.04.12 by B. Hensley.

• §39.4, p. 438, Eqs. (39.35) and (39.36), typos: they should read
  $$N_{SN} = 0.24S^{-13}E_{51}^{1.26}n_0^{-1.47}c_{s,6}^{-13/5}$$  (39.35)
  $$= 0.48S^{-13}E_{51}^{1.26}n_0^{-0.17}p_4^{-1.30}, \quad p_4 \equiv \frac{p/k}{10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}}$$  (39.36)

  noted 2014.06.27 by B. Jiang.
• §39.4, p. 438, Eq. (39.37), typos: Eq. (39.37) should read
\[ \frac{P}{k} = S_{1.13}^{0.77} E_{51}^{0.97} n_0^{-0.13} \times 5700 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K} \]  
(39.37)

noted 2014.06.27 by B. Jiang.

• §39.4, p. 439, typo: neighboorhood → neighborhood

noted 2011.04.14

• §40.2, p. 442, typo: with a increased energy → with an increased energy

noted 2011.04.26

• §40.5, p. 447, typo: protons with \( E < 10^5 \text{ GeV} \) have \( R_{\text{gyro}} < 10^{-4} \text{ pc} \) → protons with \( E < 10^3 \text{ GeV} \) have \( R_{\text{gyro}} < 10^{-4} \text{ pc} \)

noted 2011.04.26

• §40.9, p. 450, typo: 
\[ e^+ + H \rightarrow H^+ + 2\gamma \rightarrow e^+ + H \rightarrow H^+ + 2\gamma \]

noted 2011.04.27

• §41.3, p. 456, typo: missing factor of \( G \). Eq. (41.36) should read
\[ E_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{G}{2} \int dV_1 \int dV_2 \frac{\rho(r_1)\rho(r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|} \]  
(41.36)

noted 2015.04.30 by J. Greco.

• §41.3.2, p. 457, Eq. (41.46), typo: replace
\[ E_{\text{mag}} = B_{\text{rms}}^2 - B_0^2 \]  
\[ \frac{8\pi}{V} \rightarrow E_{\text{mag}} = B_{\text{rms}}^2 \]  
\[ \frac{8\pi}{V} \]

noted 2011.04.28

• §41.4, p. 460, Eq. (41.55), typo: \( \langle \sigma v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{mt}} \)

noted 2012.04.16

• §41.4, p. 460, Eq. (41.55), typo: \( m_m \rightarrow m_\alpha \)

noted 2013.04.30 by K. Silsbee

• §41.4, p. 461, Eq. (41.56), typo: \( \langle \sigma v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{mt}} \)

noted 2012.04.16

• §41.6, p. 463, typo: ... the allows the → ... this allows the

noted 2011.04.28 by B. Hensley

• §41.6, p. 463, typo: magenetic → magnetic

noted 2011.01.10


(also corrected in Bibliography)

noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.
• §42.2, p. 467, last paragraph, typo: ...face-on it, may... → ...face-on, it may... noted 2012.06.22 by F. van der Tak.

• §42.4, p. 470, 3rd paragraph should read
  ... to be $Q_{0, MW} = (3.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{53} \text{s}^{-1}$, after...
  noted 2011.01.04

• §42.5, p. 471, Eq. (42.9) typo: $dsik \rightarrow \text{disk}$
  noted 2011.01.04

• Plate 5 caption: 2nd sentence should read
  ... synchrotron emission seen in Plate 4.
  noted 2011.01.12

• Appendix A, p. 473, typo: entry for $a_0$ should read
  ...Bohr radius $\equiv \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e e^2} =$...
  noted 2013.03.05 by Wenhua Ju.

• Appendix A, p. 475: entry for $R_M$ should read
  $\text{RM} \ldots$ see Eq. (11.23)
  noted 2011.01.05

• Appendix B, p. 476: typo: incorrect units for Stefan-Boltzmann constant $\sigma$:
  $5.67040 \times 10^{-5} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3} \text{K}^{-4}$ → $5.67040 \times 10^{-5} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-4}$
  noted 2019.05.14 by Aaron Tran.

• Appendix D, p. 481: corrected typos:
  F VI → VII: $I = 147.163 \rightarrow 157.163$
  Ne VI → VII: $I = 154.214 \rightarrow 157.934$
  Ti III → IV: $I = 24.492 \rightarrow 27.492$
  Ti V → VI: $I = 123.7 \rightarrow 99.299$
  Zn VI → VII: $I = 133.903 \rightarrow 108.0$
  noted 2015.07.10 by Guangtun Ben Zhu.

• Appendix E, p. 483, typo: Pfundt → Pfund
  noted 2011.04.28 by B. Hensley.

• Appendix E, p. 484: diagram for C IV: the wavelength labels 1548.2 and 1550.8 should be interchanged.
  noted 2011.03.11

• Appendix E, p. 485: diagrams for N IV and O V: the levels shown as $^2P_1$ and $^2P_2$ should be $^3P_1$ and $^3P_2$, respectively.
  noted 2023.05.23

• Appendix E, p. 486: labelling of the fine-structure excited state for C II, N III, and O IV should have $J = 3/2$ (not $J = 1/2$).
  noted 2012.01.29 by E.B. Jenkins.
• Appendix E, p. 488: inadvertent omission of $^2P_{1/2} \rightarrow ^2D_{5/2}$ emission lines for N I, O II, and Ne IV. Corrected figure:

noted 2023.04.16 by S.R. Kulkarni

• Appendix E, p. 494: inadvertent omission of $^1S_{0} \rightarrow ^1D_{2}$ emission lines for
Si I and S III. Corrected figure:

- Appendix E, p. 495: $^2D_{5/2,5/2}^o$ energy levels were misplotted for S II and Ar IV.
  noted 2013.10.21 by Bon-Chul Koo.
  Corrected figure [Opportunity taken to update energy Ar IV energy levels
using latest values from NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.1 [Online]):

• Appendix F, Table F.2, p. 497, typo: the first transition listed for S III: change $^3P_0^\rightarrow^3P_0^\rightarrow^3P_1$ notewritten 2016.10.03 by C.D. Kreisch.

• Appendix F, Table F.3, p. 498: updated electron collision strengths for O I:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>ℓ − u</th>
<th>$\Omega_{\ell u}$</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O I $^3P_2^\rightarrow^3P_1$</td>
<td>0.0105$T_4^{0.4861+0.0054 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3P_2^\rightarrow^3P_0$</td>
<td>0.00459$T_4^{0.4507-0.0066 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3P_1^\rightarrow^3P_0$</td>
<td>0.00015$T_4^{0.4709-0.1396 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3P_2^\rightarrow^1D_2$</td>
<td>0.0312(2J+1)$T_4^{0.945-0.001 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3P_1^\rightarrow^1S_0$</td>
<td>0.00353(2J+1)$T_4^{0.010-0.135 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^1D_2^\rightarrow^1S_0$</td>
<td>0.0893$T_4^{0.662-0.089 \ln T_4}$</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... 

a fit to Bell et al. (1998)
b fit to Zatsarriny & Tayal (2003)

noted 2015.02.27

• Appendix F, Table F.5, p. 500: Level u in the fourth line in the table should be $^2P_{3/2}$ rather than $^2P_{5/2}$.

noted 2022.09.03 by S. R. Kulkarni

• Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: The table title should be “Rate Coefficients for ... Deexcitation...” rather than “... Excitation...”.
noted 2015.07.03

• Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: incorrect powers of 10 in lines 5 and 6: 
  \( k_{\ell-u} = 3P_0 - 3P_1 \) should read \( 1.26 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.115+0.057 \ln T_2} \) 
  \( k_{\ell-u} = 3P_0 - 3P_2 \) should read \( 2.64 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.231+0.046 \ln T_2} \) 

NB!: See also erratum below on inadvertent interchange of \( 3P_0 - 3P_2 \) and \( 3P_1 - 3P_2 \) deexcitation rates.

noted 2012.05.02 by M.J. Wolfire

• Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: the rates for entries 5 and 6 should be interchanged, so that entries 4-6 read
  
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  \text{H} & \text{CI} & 3P_0 - 3P_1 \\
  & & 1.26 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.115+0.057 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H} & \text{CI} & 3P_0 - 3P_2 \\
  & & 8.90 \times 10^{-11} T_2^{0.228+0.046 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H} & \text{CI} & 3P_1 - 3P_2 \\
  & & 2.64 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.231+0.046 \ln T_2}
  \end{array}
  \]

noted 2015.07.03 by Munan Gong.

• Appendix F, Table F.6, p. 501: the rates for entries 23-28 should be changed to
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  \text{H}_2 & \text{CI} & 3P_2 - 3P_1 \\
  & & 1.49 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.369-0.026 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H}_2 & \text{CI} & 3P_2 - 3P_0 \\
  & & 1.37 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.395-0.005 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H}_2 & \text{CI} & 3P_0 - 3P_0 \\
  & & 2.37 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.255+0.016 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H}_2 & \text{CI} & 3P_0 - 3P_0 \\
  & & 2.23 \times 10^{-10} T_2^{0.284+0.033 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H}_2 & \text{CI} & 3P_1 - 3P_0 \\
  & & 2.10 \times 10^{-12} T_2^{1.117+0.070 \ln T_2} \\
  \text{H}_2 & \text{CI} & 3P_1 - 3P_0 \\
  & & 3.00 \times 10^{-12} T_2^{0.792+0.188 \ln T_2}
  \end{array}
  \]

noted 2015.08.24 by B. Hensley.

• Appendix G, p. 503, typo just before Eq. (G.7): change
  \[ ...\text{solution } x_0 = e^{-i\omega t} \rightarrow ...\text{solution } x = x_0 e^{-i\omega t} \]

noted 2019.02.11

• Appendix I, p. 506, typo: ...a time \( \sim E_{\ell u} / h \rightarrow ...\text{a time } \sim h / E_{\ell u} \)

noted 2013.02.07 by Munan Gong.

• Appendix I, p. 507, typo (missing \( 1/2 \)): Eq. (I.4) should read
  \[
  b_{\text{crit}}(v) = W a_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{Z e^2 / W a_0}{m c v^2 / 2} \right]^{1/2}.
  \]

noted 2011.02.08 by B. Hensley.

• Appendix I, p. 507, typo (15.78→31.56): Eq. (I.7) should read
  \[
  \frac{Z e^2}{a_0 kT} = \frac{31.56 Z}{T_4}
  \]

noted 2019.01.14.

• Appendix J, p. 508, Eq. (J.3), typo in line 3:
  \[
  \ldots + \int dV \frac{\partial}{\partial j} (v_j \rho v_i x_i) \rightarrow \ldots + \int dV \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (v_j \rho v_i x_i)
  \]

noted 2011.02.14 by Xu Huang.
• Appendix J, p. 510, Eq. (J.8): missing sign:

\[ Y_3 = E_{grav} = \frac{1}{2} \int dV_1 \int dV_2 \frac{G \rho(r_1) \rho(r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|} \]

→

\[ Y_3 = E_{grav} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dV_1 \int dV_2 \frac{G \rho(r_1) \rho(r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|} \]

noted 2020.11.13

• Appendix J, p. 510, Eq. (J.13), typo:

\[ \Pi_0 \equiv \oint dS \cdot rp \quad \rightarrow \quad \Pi_0 \equiv \frac{1}{3} \oint dS \cdot rp \]

noted 2017.03.08.