# Star Cluster Formation

Colin Hill Princeton Astrophysics

4 December 2012

Trapezium

VLT

HST

## Outline

- Star Clusters: Background + Observations
- The Life of a Cluster
  - Fragmentation
  - Feedback Effects
  - Mass Segregation + Stellar Dynamics
  - Gas Expulsion + Relaxation
- Outlook



- ~70-90% of stars form in clusters: understanding star formation requires understanding cluster formation
- Key information from clusters:
  - color-magnitude diagram tests stellar evolution theory
  - IMF determination
  - stellar dynamics testbed





- ~70-90% of stars form in clusters: understanding star formation requires understanding cluster formation
- Key information from clusters:
  - color-magnitude diagram tests stellar evolution theory
  - IMF determination
  - stellar dynamics testbed
- Two environmental classes:
  - Exposed clusters
  - Embedded clusters (ECs)
- Two dynamical classes:
  - Bound clusters: K+V < 0
  - Unbound clusters: K+V > 0

- Criteria for a star cluster:
   ρ\* > I M<sub>sun</sub>/pc<sup>3</sup> (to resist tidal disruption by Galaxy and passing clouds)
   N > 35 stars (so that t<sub>evap</sub> > 10 Myr)
- Masses ~ O(10-100s) M<sub>sun</sub>
   Sizes ~ O(pc)
- Typical timescale of embedded phase: 2-3 Myr
   Clusters older than ~5 Myr typically have no molecular gas
- Identified in IR, e.g. 2.2 μm or K band (often ~invisible in optical)
- First deeply embedded cluster found in MC: Ophiuchi (1974) Now >100 known, but far from complete sample



## î

## Observations



 Embedded cluster mass function: dn/dM ~ M<sup>-2</sup>

- M dn/dlogM is flat over wide range in mass (~50-1000 Msun)
   Steep decline below ~50 Msun
- Masses + EC ages imply they host much (most?) of local SF

## Observations



Murray (2009) Allen et al. (2007)

## Observations

- EC birthrate: ~8-16 times higher than that of classical open clusters
- Vast majority of ECs do not survive emergence from MCs beyond few Myr
- But it appears most stars are formed in ECs -- overall SFE ~ O(1-10%)

The process:
 Initial collapse/fragmentation
 Role of turbulence?
 Feedback processes?
 Dynamical evolution - mass segregation
 Relaxation/gas expulsion



#### Lada & Lada (2003)

## Observations



- Vast majority of ECs do not survive emergence from MCs beyond few Myr
- But it appears most stars are formed in ECs -- overall SFE ~ O(1-10%)

The process:
 Initial collapse/fragmentation
 Role of turbulence?
 Feedback processes?
 Dynamical evolution - mass segregation
 Relaxation/gas expulsion



#### Lada & Lada (2003)

# Fragmentation

### • Recall: Jeans scale $\lambda_J = 0.6 \text{ pc} (T/20 \text{ K})^{1/2} (\rho/10^{-20} \text{ g/cm}^3)^{-1/2}$ $M_J = 130 \text{ M}_{\text{sun}} (T/20 \text{ K})^{3/2} (\rho/10^{-20} \text{ g/cm}^3)^{-1/2}$ $t_J = 2.2 \text{ Myr} (\rho/10^{-20} \text{ g/cm}^3)^{-1/2}$

- Limited T variation due to highly efficient radiative cooling in MCs
- Large  $\rho$  variation (log-normal) ~10<sup>-20</sup> g/cm<sup>3</sup> to stellar densities
- What about T variation on small scales?
  - Gas becomes optically thick at densities far above MC mean
  - Transition regime is complicated
  - If too cold, leads to increased fragmentation, overproduction of lowmass objects or brown dwarfs
  - Simulate via 3D AMR code including radiative feedback

## Fragmentation

# Full radiative transfer sim: protostellar feedback, viscous dissipation, gas compression



log(gas column density)

log(density-weighted gas temp.)

 $C:T = 10 \text{ K}, L = 0.65 \text{ pc}, M = 185 \text{ M}_{sun}$ 

No B field

#### Offner et al. (2009)



## Fragmentation

### EOS-only sim



log(gas column density)





## **Fragmentation/Feedback**



- RT suppresses smallscale fragmentation
- Protostellar radiation is dominant heating source

- RT leads to smoother and less variable accretion
- Mean accretion rate increases with final stellar mass - SF time thus quasi-ind. of mass

## More Feedback



• What about turbulence?

- Initial turbulence inherited from ISM decays away very quickly
  - Replaced by protostellar outflow-driven turbulence
  - Limits mass accretion onto forming stars
  - May lead to evolution of cluster-forming clumps toward centrallycondensed state

3D MHD w/ B field

 $C:T = 20 \text{ K}, L = 1.5 \text{ pc}, M = 939 \text{ M}_{sun}$ 

#### Li & Nakamura (2006)

Li & Nakamura (2006)

## **More Feedback**



 Morphology of cloud is altered by outflows following SF

 Settling and accretion at center of clump may lead to formation of massive stars

## More Feedback





- Precise origin of embedded clusters is still unknown, but subsequent dynamical evolution and emergence from MCs is well-studied
- Interplay between SF efficiency and gas removal process
- Major question: origin of mass segregation in embedded clusters e.g., Orion nebula cluster (age ~ 2-3 Myr) Primordial or the result of dynamical evolution? Are the masses of the most massive stars set by the mass of the core in which they form or by competitive accretion due to favorable location?
- Observations indicate massive stars often found near cluster centers, but overall evidence inconclusive

## Dynamics/Mass Segregation

- Allison et al.: rapid and violent early dynamical evolution can drive significant mass segregation
- Claim: clusters form cool (subvirial) and with substructure



 Simulate very clumpy initial stellar distributions with K = 0.3U (subvirial)

IC: N = 1000 stars, r = 1 pc, M = 500 M<sub>sun</sub>
 N-body only, no gas, no binaries

#### Allison et al. (2009, 2010)

## **Dynamics/Mass Segregation**



 Mass segregation scale A (related to typical distances between avg randomly selected stars and most massive stars)

 Mass segregation caused by production of a shortlived, very dense core

$$t_{\rm seg} \approx \frac{m}{M} \frac{N}{8 \ln N} \frac{R}{\sigma}$$

 Rapid segregation possible for M\*>4 M<sub>sun</sub>

Allison et al. (2009, 2010)



• Trapezium-like systems form during dense core phase

(a)

• Can be followed by core collapse and disruption of the cluster, including ejection of massive stars

(b)



- ECs are fairly short-lived -- limits overall SFE (fraction of total mass that is converted to stars)
  - SFE for ECs seems to increase with time from  ${\sim}10\%$  to  ${\sim}30\%$
  - Global SFE for GMCs ~1-5%
- How is gas removed?

- Explosive gas removal --  $t_{removal} \leq t_{cross}$  (e.g., due to O stars)
- Adiabatic gas removal --  $t_{removal} >> t_{cross}$  (allowing evolution into OCs)
- Clusters will typically expand and lose members in this process
- Production of a bound cluster from dense cloud core requires special conditions (M > 500 M<sub>sun</sub> to obtain stable open cluster)
- Most ECs (~90%) emerge from MCs as unbound systems

# Gas Expulsion



Goodwin & Bastian (2006)

 Goodwin & Bastian: luminosity and dynamical mass estimates for young massive clusters differ significantly

- Likely out of virial equil. due to violent relaxation after expelling unused gas (~50% initial cluster mass)
- Simulate this by setting up out-of-VE N-body systems

IC: N = 30000 stars, r = 3.5 pc, M = 50000 M<sub>sun</sub> 22 N-body only, no gas, no binaries

## Gas Expulsion

light/mass ratio



"infant mortality"
"infant weight loss"

- Compare to obs.:
  - Older clusters: luminosity and dynamical masses tend to agree

- Younger clusters: lie below canonical expectation

- Explanation: dyn. mass overestimates true mass; younger clusters are out of VE after gas expulsion
- Some will be destroyed (>~50%), some will relax to new virial equilibrium

- ECs -- site of much, if not most, of SF in the galaxy
- Origin of ECs remains mysterious
  - Source of turbulence in cluster-forming regions?
  - Formation of massive stars?
- Mass segregation to get massive stars in center now tractable
- Dynamical evolution and disruption of clusters now well-studied



## î

# References

Allen, L., et al. 2007, Protostars and Planets V Proceedings, 361 Allison, R., et al. 2009, ApJL, 700, L99 Allison, R., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 407, 1098 Goodwin, S. & Bastian, N. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 752 Lada, C. & Lada, E. 2003, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 41:57–115 Li, Z.-Y. & Nakamura, F. 2006, ApJL, 640, L187 Murray, N. 2009, ApJ, 691, 946 Offner, S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 703, 131