







Evolution of magnetized environments: force-free zoology Anatoly Spitkovsky



Evolution of magnetized environments: force-free zoology Anatoly Spitkovsky

Collaborators: Xuening Bai (Princeton) Jon Arons (Berkeley) Yury Lyubarsky (Ben Gurion)

Outline

- Magnetically-dominated environments
- Strategies for modeling: force-free approximation
- Behavior of magnetized environments:
 - Pulsars, aligned and oblique
 - Bursting magnetars
 - Coronae of accretion disks
- Gamma-ray emission from pulsars: Fermi
- Conclusions







Relativistic outflows in astrophysics

Magnetic field

Magnetically dominated environments are usually associated with relativistic flows

- Pulsars + winds, plerions (γ~10⁶)
- Extragalactic radio sources (γ~10)
- Superluminal expansion (γ a few)
- Black hole energy extraction
- Gamma ray bursts (γ~100)
- Magnetars / AXP
- UHE CR





Unipolar Induction: rotating magnetized conductors

- Alfven (1939), aka Faraday wheel
- Rule of thumb: $V \sim \Omega \Phi$; $P \sim V^2 / Z_0$



EM energy density >> particle energy density Energy is extracted electromagnetically: Poynting flux

Unipolar induction



Unipolar induction



Unipolar induction



Unipolar induction

Pulsar physics in space





B



Rule of thumb: $V \sim \Omega \Phi$; $P \sim V^2 / Z_0 = I V$ Crab Pulsar B ~ 10¹² G, Ω ~ 200 rad s⁻¹, R ~ 10 km

Voltage ~ 3 x 10¹⁶ V; I ~ 3 x 10¹⁴ A; P ~ 10³⁸erg/s

Magnetar

B ~ 10^{14} G; P ~ 10^{44} erg/s Massive Black Hole in AGN B ~ 10^{4} <u>G; P ~ 10^{46} erg/s</u>

Extreme magnetospheres

A few examples:

Pulsars





Magnetars

Accretion disks









Pulsar magnetosphere: what do we expect?



Magnetars

Neutron stars with 10¹⁵ G field, period 5-10 seconds. Pulses or bursts of X-rays and gamma-rays (<10⁴¹ erg/s)



Cartoon non robert

Magnetars

Neutron stars with 10¹⁵ G field, period 5-10 seconds. Pulses or bursts of X-rays and gamma-rays (<10⁴¹ erg/s)



Magnetars

Neutron stars with 10¹⁵ G field, period 5-10 seconds. Pulses or bursts of X-rays and gamma-rays (<10⁴¹ erg/s)



Black hole-disk system



Hawley et al 02

McKinney & Gammie 04

Interaction between magnetically dominated and "normal" flow.

Magnetic extraction of rotational energy from black hole is associated with jet formation. Jet and corona are magnetically dominated.



Black hole-disk system





Hawley et al 02

McKinney & Gammie 04

Interaction between magnetically dominated and "normal" flow.

Magnetic extraction of rotational energy from black hole is associated with jet formation. Jet and corona are magnetically dominated.

Do we understand the behavior of the corona + jet?



Black hole-disk system





Hawley et al 02

McKinney & Gammie 04

Interaction between magnetically dominated and "normal" flow.

Magnetic extraction of rotational energy from black hole is associated with jet formation. Jet and corona are magnetically dominated.

Do we understand the behavior of the corona + jet?



•What is the magnetospheric structure of a magnetized rotating conductor in the presence of plasma?

•What is the rate of energy loss?

•What are the properties of the wind/outflow?

We need to be able to solve self-consistent dynamics of plasmas in strong EM fields. Difficult to do both analytically and numerically.

Conditions:

$$v \simeq c, \quad v_a \simeq c,$$

Equations:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \left(T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} + T^{\alpha\beta}_{(f)} \right) = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} {}^{*}F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\alpha} (nu^{\alpha}) = 0$$

$$F_{\nu\mu}u^{\mu} = 0$$
 - perfect conductivity

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(f)} = F^{\alpha\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\ \gamma} - \frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})g^{\alpha\beta}$$

-stress-energy-momentum of electromagnetic field

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} = w u^\alpha u^\beta + p g^{\alpha\beta}$$

-stress-energy-momentum of matter

from S. Komissarov

Relativistic MHD

Conditions:

$$v \simeq c, \quad v_a \simeq c,$$

Equations:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \left(T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} + T^{\alpha\beta}_{(f)} \right) = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} * F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\alpha} (nu^{\alpha}) = 0$$

Advantages:

- 1) Allows adiabatic transfer of energy and momentum between the electromagnetic field and particles;
- 2) Allows dissipation at shocks;
- 3) All wave speeds below c.

Disadvantages:

- 1) Complexity;
- 2) Difficult to solve if

 $\rho c^2 \ll E^2 + B^2$

$$F_{\nu\mu}u^{\mu} = 0$$
 - perfect conductivity

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(f)} = F^{\alpha\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\ \gamma} - \frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})g^{\alpha\beta}$$

-stress-energy-momentum of electromagnetic field

-stress-energy-momentum of matter

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} = w u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} + p g^{\alpha\beta}$$

Full MHD vs force-free

Conditions:

Conditions:

$$v \simeq c, \quad v_a \simeq c,$$

Equations:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \left(T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} + T^{\alpha\beta}_{(f)} \right) = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} * F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\alpha} (nu^{\alpha}) = 0$$

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} \ll T^{\alpha\beta}_{(ef)}$$

Equations:

$$\nabla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{T}^{\nu\mu}_{(f)} = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} * F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

Oľ

 $F_{\nu\mu}u^{\mu} = 0$ - perfect conductivity

$$F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$
$$F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} > 0$$

$$E \cdot B = 0$$
$$B^2 - E^2 > 0$$

(Komissarov 2002)

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(f)} = F^{\alpha\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\ \gamma} - \frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})g^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} = w u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} + p g^{\alpha\beta}$$

Full MHD vs force-free

Advantages:

Conditions:

Equations:

- 1) Simple hyperbolic system of conservation laws (linearly degenerate fast and Alfven modes);
- 2) Well suited for "force-free" magnetospheres of black holes and neutron stars;

Disadvantages:

- 1) Does not allow adiabatic transfer of energy and momentum between the electromagnetic field and particles;
- 2) Does not allow dissipation;
- 3) Fast wavespeed equals to c (subsonic);
- 4) Often breaks down;

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{(m)} \ll T^{\alpha\beta}_{(ef)}$$

$$\nabla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{T}^{\nu\mu}_{(f)} = 0$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} * F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} > 0$$

$$B^2 - E^2 > 0$$
(Komissaroy 2002)

$$mn\frac{\partial\gamma\vec{v}}{\partial t} = \rho\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} \approx 0$$

Derive dynamical set of equations by ignoring particle inertia but retaining plasma charges and currents.

$$mn\frac{\partial\gamma\vec{v}}{\partial t} = \rho\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} \approx 0$$

Derive dynamical set of equations by ignoring particle inertia but retaining plasma charges and currents.

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{4\pi}{c}\vec{j}$$
$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}$$
$$\rho \vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$mn\frac{\partial\gamma\vec{v}}{\partial t} = \rho\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} \approx 0$$

Derive dynamical set of equations by ignoring particle inertia but retaining plasma charges and currents.

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{4\pi}{c}\vec{j}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}$$

$$\vec{p}\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\vec{d} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\vec{f} = \frac{c}{4\pi}(\nabla \cdot \vec{E})\frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} + \frac{c\vec{B}(\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{B} - \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{E})}{4\pi B^2}$$

$$\vec{f} = \frac{c}{4\pi}(\nabla \cdot \vec{E})\frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} + \frac{c\vec{B}(\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{B} - \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{E})}{4\pi B^2}$$

$$\vec{f} = \frac{c}{4\pi}(\nabla \cdot \vec{E})\frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} + \frac{c\vec{B}(\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{B} - \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{E})}{4\pi B^2}$$

$$mn\frac{\partial\gamma\vec{v}}{\partial t} = \rho\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} \approx 0$$

Derive dynamical set of equations by ignoring particle inertia but retaining plasma charges and currents.

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{4\pi}{c}\vec{j}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}$$

$$\vec{p}\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{j}}{c} \times \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$
"Force-free MHD" Gruzinov 99, Blandford 01

Where is plasma? Assumed to flow with ExB velocity, but velocity along the field is undefined. Plasma provides only charges and currents, no inertia.

Hyperbolic eqs. Use electromagnetic solvers to advance the system in time.

Monopole magnetosphere: time-dependent solution

Monopolar field, torsional Alfen wave polarizes the medium with space charge

Reproduces Michel solution ('73), nothing special at light cylinder, Poynting energy loss.

Monopole magnetosphere: time-dependent solution

Monopolar field, torsional Alfen wave polarizes the medium with space charge



Reproduces Michel solution ('73), nothing special at light cylinder, Poynting energy loss.



Monopole magnetosphere: time-dependent solution

Monopolar field, torsional Alfen wave polarizes the medium with space charge



Reproduces Michel solution ('73), nothing special at light cylinder, Poynting energy loss.



Structure of magnetosphere: time-dependent solution



Structure of magnetosphere: time-dependent solution



Current

150

200

250


Current

150

200

250



Current

150

200

250

Limits of applicability of force-free system

- a) E < B (physical limit) Drift velocity should be < c.
 Not enforced by the original system of equations -- need resistivity
- b) $B \neq 0$ (numerical and philosophical limit)

Spontaneous current sheet formation is a natural property of magnetized flows. In current sheets, force-free approximation breaks down. Resistivity helps maintain physical solutions.

Limits of applicability of force-free system

- a) E < B (physical limit) Drift velocity should be < c.
 Not enforced by the original system of equations -- need resistivity
- b) $B \neq 0$ (numerical and philosophical limit)

Spontaneous current sheet formation is a natural property of magnetized flows. In current sheets, force-free approximation breaks down. Resistivity helps maintain physical solutions.

$$\vec{j} = \frac{c}{4\pi} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} + \sigma_{\parallel} E_{\parallel} + \sigma_{\perp} E_{\perp} \qquad \text{If } \sigma_{\parallel} \gg 0, \ E_{\parallel} \rightarrow 0$$
$$\sigma_{\perp} \text{ to keep } |E| < |B|$$

Limits of applicability of force-free system

- a) E < B (physical limit) Drift velocity should be < c.
 Not enforced by the original system of equations -- need resistivity
- b) $B \neq 0$ (numerical and philosophical limit)

Spontaneous current sheet formation is a natural property of magnetized flows. In current sheets, force-free approximation breaks down. Resistivity helps maintain physical solutions.

$$\vec{j} = \frac{c}{4\pi} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} + \sigma_{\parallel} E_{\parallel} + \sigma_{\perp} E_{\perp} \qquad \text{If } \sigma_{\parallel} \gg 0, \ E_{\parallel} \to 0$$
$$\sigma_{\perp} \text{ to keep } |E| < |B|$$

Numerical method: finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method for Maxwell's equations; E and B staggered in space (Yee mesh);

- No numerical resistivity, but dispersive and
- oscillatory at discontinuities. Can add diffusion.

Other methods can be used too (McKinney 06 conservative; Komissarov 05 Godunov) (recent results by Contopoulos with the same method)

E

Toroidal field Time dependent force-free relativistic MHD approximation (long term evolution).

Properties of the solution:
Spontaneous formation of equatorial current sheet.
Reconnection necessary to reach LC
Y-point (inside LC)
Field is divergent at Y-point
Field is zero in the equatorial plane
Asymptotically -- split monopole

•Closed zone expands to LC over 10 period timescale.

Spindown:

$$\dot{E} = \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} = c B_{LC}^2 R_{LC}^2$$

Vacuum formula:

$$\dot{E}_{vac} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \sin^2 \theta$$

A.S. (2006)



Time dependent force-free relativistic MHD approximation (long term evolution).

Properties of the solution:
Spontaneous formation of equatorial current sheet.
Reconnection necessary to reach LC
Y-point (inside LC)
Field is divergent at Y-point
Field is zero in the equatorial plane
Asymptotically -- split monopole
Closed zone expands to LC over 10 period timescale.

Spindown:

A.S. (2006)

$$\dot{E} = \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} = c B_{LC}^2 R_{LC}^2$$

Vacuum formula:

$$\dot{E}_{vac} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \sin^2 \theta$$



Time dependent force-free relativistic MHD approximation (long term evolution).

Properties of the solution:
Spontaneous formation of equatorial current sheet.
Reconnection necessary to reach LC
Y-point (inside LC)
Field is divergent at Y-point
Field is zero in the equatorial plane
Asymptotically -- split monopole
Closed zone expands to LC over 10 period timescale.

Spindown:

A.S. (2006)

$$\dot{E} = \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} = c B_{LC}^2 R_{LC}^2$$

Vacuum formula:

$$\dot{E}_{vac} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \sin^2 \theta$$



Time dependent force-free relativistic MHD approximation (long term evolution).

Properties of the solution:
Spontaneous formation of equatorial current sheet.
Reconnection necessary to reach LC
Y-point (inside LC)
Field is divergent at Y-point
Field is zero in the equatorial plane
Asymptotically -- split monopole
Closed zone expands to LC over 10 period timescale.

Spindown:

A.S. (2006)

$$\dot{E} = \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} = c B_{LC}^2 R_{LC}^2$$

Vacuum formula:

$$\dot{E}_{vac} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \sin^2 \theta$$

40 years of pulsar magnetopsheres

•August 1967 -- discovery by Jocelyn Bell and Tony Hewish

•1969 -- Goldreich-Julian model

 1970-s "pulsar equation", pair formation, particle acceleration, geometrical emission Models (key players: Ruderman, Michel, Arons)

Magnetospheric shape unsolved even for aligned rotator.

•1999 -- Contopoulos Kazanas Fendt Time-independent aligned magnetosphere (numerical solution of "pulsar equation")

2003+ time-dependent numerical models (force-free + MHD). Good agreement with steady model. (McKinney; Komissarov, AS) What's left is the oblique rotator.



1.5

2.5

3D force-free magnetosphere: 60 degrees inclination

3D force-free magnetosphere: 60 degrees inclination



Meanwhile in the rotating frame: 60 degrees inclination



Current density, plane of μ - Ω

Magnetic field, plane of μ - Ω

Meanwhile in the rotating frame: 60 degrees inclination





Magnetic field, plane of $\mu\text{-}\Omega$

Current density, plane of μ - Ω

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Goldreich & Julian 1969

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Goldreich & Julian 1969

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Goldreich & Julian 1969

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Goldreich & Julian 1969

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Goldreich & Julian 1969

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Goldreich & Julian 1969

Corotation electric field
Sweepback of B field due to poloidal current
ExB -> Poynting flux

•Electromagnetic energy loss



Radiator in Fermi band is tapping into this energy flux



Radiator in Fermi band is tapping into this energy flux

3D solution: flux surfaces

Inclination affects the current structure and open flux tube geometry. Need to determine open/closed flux. Gruzinov (2005) found an invariant on field lines:

 $\lambda = \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{V} \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})) \cdot \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{B}^2; \quad \mathbf{V} = \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$



3D solution: flux surfaces

Inclination affects the current structure and open flux tube geometry. Need to determine open/closed flux. Gruzinov (2005) found an invariant on field lines:

 $\lambda = \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{V} \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})) \cdot \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{B}^2; \quad \mathbf{V} = \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$



asymptotic split-monopole is ideal for caustic formaiton

3D solution: current flow

Inclination affects the current structure and open flux tube geometry. Need to determine open/closed flux. Gruzinov (2005) found an invariant on field lines:

 $\lambda = \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{V} \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})) \cdot \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{B}^2; \quad \mathbf{V} = \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$



Bai & AS 09

3D solution: current flow

Inclination affects the current structure and open flux tube geometry. Need to determine open/closed flux. Gruzinov (2005) found an invariant on field lines:

 $\lambda = \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{V} \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})) \cdot \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{B}^2; \quad \mathbf{V} = \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$



Bai & AS 09

asymptotic split-monopole is ideal for caustic formaiton

Pulsar spindown



Spindown of oblique rotator

$$\dot{E} \approx \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \left(1 + \sin^2 \theta\right)$$

Vacuum formula

$$\dot{E}_{vac} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu^2 \Omega^4}{c^3} \sin^2 \theta$$

Pulsar spindown



There are books that conclude that 90 degree rotator does not spin down at all...

Quadrupole spindown

Quadrupole spindown



Magnetar starquake



Magnetar starquake







Magnetar starquake



Magnetar starquake 00:00:06 2000001 6 of 8 ¢β•dℓ = ' 41

Magnetar starquake




Magnetospheres of magnetars

Magnetar starquake



bow shock shell

Magnetospheres of magnetars

Magnetar starquake



Magnetospheres of magnetars

Magnetar starquake



Star+disk

Star+disk





Tuesday, January 19, 2010

Star+disk





Tuesday, January 19, 2010

Accretion disk corona



Accretion disk corona





summary so far

Current sheets form from smooth initial conditions universally.

They are integral part of the evolution, and delineate distinct regions.

Can we observe them?

FF solutions of pulsars produce geometrical shape of the magnetosphere.

Is there any observational signature of the FF magnetosphere?

Gamma-ray emission from pulsars

Exploring the Extreme Universe



Gamma-ray

pace leiscope

Gamma-ray emission from pulsars





Exploring the Extreme Universe

High B at light cylinder required

Gamma-ray emission from pulsars

Exploring the Extreme Universe



Tuesday, January 19, 2010

Gamma-ray

pace Telescope

What emits?

Emission process less complicated than in the radio: curvature, IC, or synchrotron.

- •Need acceleration of particles
- •Depending on how much plasma is in the magnetosphere, postulate emission regions, where E field is not shorted out: gap models
- •Trace emission in field geometry, usually assumed to be rotating vacuum dipole

•Remarkably successful in fitting the light curves and spectra

Geometry is crucial to the formation of light curves



What emits?

Emission process less complicated than in the radio: curvature, IC, or synchrotron.

- Need acceleration of particles
- •Depending on how much plasma is in the magnetosphere, postulate emission regions, where E field is not shorted out: gap models

•Trace emission in field geometry, usually assumed to be rotating vacuum dipole

•Remarkably successful in fitting the light curves and spectra



Geometry is crucial to the formation of light curves

What emits?

Emission process less complicated than in the radio: curvature, IC, or synchrotron.

- Need acceleration of particles
- •Depending on how much plasma is in the magnetosphere, postulate emission regions, where E field is not shorted out: gap models
- •Trace emission in field geometry, usually assumed to be rotating vacuum dipole

•Remarkably successful in fitting the light curves and spectra



Geometry is crucial to the formation of light curves

Oblique rotator: force-free



Distribution of current in the magnetosphere

Force-free field provides a more realistic magnetic geometry X. Bai & A. S. arXiv: 0910.5041

Tempting to associate gaps with currents. Can we?

Oblique rotator: force-free



Distribution of current in the ma Force-free field provides a

more realistic magnetic geometry



X. Bai & A. S. arXiv: 0910.5041

Tempting to associate gaps with currents. Can we?

Light curve calculation

Pick field (static dipole, retarded dipole [Deutch], force-free)
Find the polar cap (field lines touching LC, or all closed?)
Decide which field lines emit
Assume uniform emissivity (with cuts in radius)
Trace field lines emitting photons along field line
Add aberration and time of flight effect
Bin photons on the sky -- > sky map + light curves
Repeat

Geometry is crucial to the formation of light curves: affects aberration and definition of polar cap.

Light curve calculation

Pick field (static dipole, retarded dipole [Deutch], force-free)
Find the polar cap (field lines touching LC, or all closed?)
Decide which field lines emit
Assume uniform emissivity (with cuts in radius)
Trace field lines emitting photons along field line
Add aberration and time of flight effect
Bin photons on the sky --> sky map + light curves
Repeat

Geometry is crucial to the formation of light curves: affects aberration and definition of polar cap.

Force-free vs Vacuum: Last Closed Lines

Force-free vs Vacuum: Last Closed Lines



Force-free vs Vacuum: Last Closed Lines









asymptotic split-monopole is ideal for caustic formaiton

Force-free sky map



Force-free field, 60 degree inclination, flux tube starting at 0.9 of the polar cap radius.

"Sky map stagnation"

Force-free from different flux tubes

Emissions from two poles merge at some flux tubes: what's special about them?

Bai & A. S. arXiv:0910.5041

Force-free from different flux tubes



Emissions from two poles merge at some flux tubes: what's special about them?

Bai & A. S. arXiv:0910.5041

Association with the current sheet

Color -> current

Field lines that produce best force-free caustics seem to "hug" the current sheet at and beyond the LC.



Force-free gallery Viewing angle



Double peak profiles very common.

Bai & A. S. arXiv:0910.5041

Inclination angle

Force-free gallery:TPC and OG



Viewing angle

SG/TPC and OG with FF field do not produce double peaks!

Bai & A. S. arXiv:0910.5041

Gamma-rays from pulsars: summary

Pulsar gamma-ray emission is coming from the outer magnetosphere.

Two well-established models for the location of emission in magnetosphere exist: SG & OG. Both rely on the vacuum field. The physical basis for existence of these accelerating regions and their extents is very uncertain, but they fit the data!

More realistic field, force-free magnetosphere, can produce double peaks. However, neither SG nor OG locations work for FF. The best fit is from emission near the current sheet at and beyond the LC.

Caustics in FF due to split-monopolar asymptotics. Theory of emission from current sheet is not well developed at all, and much more theoretical work has to be put in. Large L_{γ} makes sense w/cur sheet.

Large B@LC--> reconnection. Phase-resolved spectra from Fermi will be crucial!



e.g., Lyubarsky 96, Kirk et al 02, Petri 09

Open issues



Now more than ever want to resolve the current sheet!





Solutions are sensitive to resistivity prescription and code diffusivity



Resistive FF: Strong Field ED

Gruzinov (07,08): in the frame where E||B and charge density ρ =0, current is ||B and equal to σ E.

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{\rho \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} + (\rho^2 + \gamma^2 \sigma^2 E_0^2)^{1/2} (B_0 \mathbf{B} + E_0 \mathbf{E})}{B^2 + E_0^2},$$

$$B_0^2 - E_0^2 \equiv \mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2, \quad B_0 E_0 \equiv \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad E_0 \ge 0, \quad \gamma^2 \equiv \frac{B^2 + E_0^2}{B_0^2 + E_0^2},$$

$$B_0^2 = \frac{B^2 - E^2 + \sqrt{(B^2 - E^2)^2 + 4(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B})^2}}{2} + 0, \quad E_0 = \sqrt{B_0^2 - B^2 + E^2}, \quad B_0 = sign(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B})\sqrt{B_0^2}.$$



Open issues

Numerical:

Treating current sheets: approach from RMHD, or from FF? Smarter resistivities? (Gruzinov's renormalization) Explicit-implicit schemes? What are the test problems?

Do we know what resistive FF equations are? (Gruzinov's SFE)

Origin of the current in FF: can this current always be provided?

Reconnection physics: what happens inside the current sheet that can lead to radiation? What's the spectrum?

Is the current sheet stable physically? Is time-dependence important?
Conclusions

Magmetically-dominated environments now can be modeled numerically in 3D -- force-free method

Applications to pulsars, magnetars and disks allow to find the shape of the magnetosphere, and spin down law and energy loss distribution in angle for oblique rotators

Time-dependent magnetospheres open a new realm for understanding rich pulsar phenomenology (e.g. drifting subpulses)

Current sheets form spontaneously in magneticallydominated flows. Physics of relativistic reconnection is not understood and needs attention.

Pulsar gamma-ray emission can now be understood both on geometric and physical grounds as the emission from the outer magnetosphere / current sheet. This region has to be understood in much more detail.





Tuesday, January 19, 2010